1	No. 141 Original		
2			
3	In The		
4			
5	SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES		
6			
7	STATE OF TEXAS		
8	V.		
9	STATE OF NEW MEXICO and STATE OF COLORADO		
10			
11			
12	TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 19, 2020 TELECONFERENCE BEFORE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL MASTER, UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE, 111 SEVENTH AVENUE, SE, CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA 52401, beginning		
13			
14	at 11:00 a.m.		
15			
16	APPEARANCES:		
17	For the State of Texas: Somach Simmons & Dunn, P.C.		
18	BY: STUART L. SOMACH, ESQ. ROBERT B. HOFFMAN, ESQ.		
19	FRANCIS GOLDSBERRY II, ESQ. THERESA C. BARFIELD, ESQ.		
20	SARA KLAHN, 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000		
21	Sacramento, California 95814		
22	State of Texas Attorney General's Office		
23	BY: PRISCILLA M. HUBENAK P.O. Box 12548		
24	Austin, Texas 78711-2548		
25			

1 2	For the State of New Mexico:	Robles Rael & Anaya, P.C. BY: MARCUS J. RAEL JR., ESQ. DAVID A. ROMAN, ESQ.
3		500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
4	For the State of	GREG RIDGLEY
5	New Mexico:	Office of the State Engineer 407 Galisteo Street
6		Suite 101 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
7	For the New Mexico	
8	Interstate Stream	SHELLY DALRYMPLE Interstate Stream Commission
9	Commission:	5550 San Antonio Drive, NE Albuquerque, NM 87109-4127
10	For the State of	Colorado Department of Law
11	Colorado:	BY: CHAD M. WALLACE, ESQ. 1300 Broadway Denver, Colorado 80203
12	For the United States:	U.S. Department of Justice
13	ror the officed states:	BY: JAMES J. DUBOIS, ESQ. R. LEE LEININGER, ESQ.
14 15		999 18th Street South Terrace - Suite 370 Denver, Colorado 80202
16	For the United States:	U.S. Department of Justice
17	ror one onroca scaces.	BY: STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE 501 I Street, Suite 9-700
18		Sacramento, California 95814
19	For the United States:	U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of the
20		Solicitor BY: SHELLY RANDEL
21		1849 C. Street NW Washington, DC 20240
22	For the United States:	U.S. Department of Justice
23		BY: JUDITH COLEMAN Environment & Natural
24		Resources Division P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
25		

1	For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility	Stein & Brockmann, P.A. BY: JAMES C. BROCKMANN ESQ Post Office Box 2067
	Authority:	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
3	For the City of	Bickerstaff Heath Delgado
4	of El Paso:	Acosta, LLP BY: DOUGLAS G. CAROOM ESQ
5		DANIEL ORTIZ, ESQ 3711 S. MoPac Expressway
6		Building One, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78746
7		
8	For the City of Las Cruces:	Stein & Brockmann, P.A. BY: JAY F. STEIN ESQ
9		Post Office Box 2067 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
10	For Elephant Butte Irrigation District:	Barncastle Law Firm, LLC BY: SAMANTHA R. BARNCASTLE ESQ
11	TITIGACION DISCITEC.	Post Office Box 1556 Las Cruces, New Mexico 88004
12		
13	For El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1:	Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk, P.A. BY: MARIA O'BRIEN ESQ
14	DISCIPCE NO. 1.	RENEE HICKS ESQ Post Office Box 2168
15		Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
16	For New Mexico Pecan Growers:	Davidson Law Firm, LLC BY: TESSA T. DAVIDSON ESQ
17		Post Office Box 2240 Corrales, New Mexico 87048
18		
19	Hudspeth County Conservation & Reclamation	Kemp Smith, LLP BY: ANDREW MILLER ESQ 919 Congress Avenue
20	District No. 1	Suite 1305 Austin, Texas 78701
21		
22	For New Mexico State University:	Utton & Kery, P.A. BY: JOHN W. UTTON ESQ
23		Post Office Box 2386 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
24	Official Court Reporter:	Shannon Moine (319) 480-0908
25		benter.moine@gmail.com

Г

PROCEEDINGS

2.4

(March 19, 2020)

JUDGE MELLOY: Good morning. This is

Judge Melloy. All right. Let's get started. This

is in the matter of -- Hello? Let me just finish.

This is in the matter of United States Supreme

Court Original No. 141 Texas vs. New Mexico and

Colorado with the United States as an Intervenor.

I'm going to take a roll of who is on the call and

I suspect by the time we get that completed that

anybody else who may be trying to call in should

hopefully be on the call. Let's just start and I'm

going to do it basically in the order that's on the

service list.

Who is on for the United States?

MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor, this is Jim
Dubois for the United States and I believe
Ms. Coleman is on and Mr. Leininger and
Mr. McFarlane.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. All right. Then for the State of New Mexico who is on, please?

MR. RAEL: Good morning, Your Honor.

This is Marcus Rael and David Roman on behalf of
the State of New Mexico. With us today we have
Lisa Thompson as well as Ms. Cholla Khoury,

1 Director of the Environmental Litigation Division 2 for the Office of Attorney General. MR. RIDGLEY: Here for the State of New 3 4 Mexico, Greg Ridgley, general counsel for the New Mexico State Engineer. 5 6 MS. DALRYMPLE: Shelly Dalrymple for the 7 New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission. 8 JUDGE MELLOY: And was it Ms. Khoury that 9 you said that is in charge of the Environmental 10 Division of the Attorney General's Office? 11 MR. RAEL: That's correct, Your Honor. 12 K-H-O-U-R-Y. 13 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. 14 have -- Anybody for the State of Colorado? 15 MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. This is 16 Chad Wallace. 17 JUDGE MELLOY: And then Texas? 18 MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. This is 19 Stuart Somach. On the phone also is Theresa 20 Barfield, Francis Goldsberry, Sara Klahn, Priscilla 21 Hubenak from the Texas Attorney General's Office 22 and Susan Valentine who is the engineer advisor to 23 the Texas Rio Grande Commissioner. 24 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then let's go 25 through the amici. Albuquerque Water District or

1	Water Utility? Mr. Brockmann, are you on?	
2	MR. BROCKMANN: Yes, Your Honor. Jim	
3	Brockmann for the Albuquerque Bernalillo County	
4	Water Utility Authority.	
5	JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Anybody else	
6	on for them?	
7	MR. BROCKMANN: No, sir.	
8	JUDGE MELLOY: City of El Paso?	
9	MR. CAROOM: Yes, Your Honor. This is	
10	Doug Caroom for the City of El Paso and with me is	
11	Daniel Ortiz.	
12	JUDGE MELLOY: Can you spell that last	
13	name, please.	
14	MR. CAROOM: O-R-T-I-Z.	
15	JUDGE MELLOY: City of Las Cruces?	
16	MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.	
17	This is Jay Stein on behalf of amicus curiae City	
18	of Las Cruces, New Mexico.	
19	JUDGE MELLOY: Elephant Butte Irrigation	
20	District?	
21	MS. BARNCASTLE: Good morning, Your	
22	Honor. This is Samantha Barncastle for the	
23	Elephant Butte Irrigation District.	
24	JUDGE MELLOY: El Paso County Water	
25	Improvement District No. 1?	
	——————————————————————————————————————	

1 MS. O'BRIEN: Yes. Good morning, Your 2 This is Maria O'Brien for El Paso County 3 Water Improvement District No. 1 and also on the line is counsel for EP No. 1, Renee Hicks. 4 5 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Hudspeth County Conservation & Reclamation District No. 1? 6 7 MR. MILLER: Yes. Good morning, Your 8 Honor. This is Drew Miller for the Hudspeth County 9 Conservation & Reclamation District. 10 JUDGE MELLOY: Anybody on for the State 11 of Kansas? Anybody on for the New Mexico Pecan 12 Growers? 13 MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. 14 Davidson for Pecan Growers. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: And New Mexico State 16 University? 17 MR. UTTON: Yes. Good morning, Your 18 This is John Utton for New Mexico State Honor. 19 University. 20 JUDGE MELLOY: Have I missed anyone or anybody come on the call while I was doing the 21 22 roll? MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor, for the United 23 24 States Shelly Randel from the Solicitor's Office is 25 also on.

DUDGE MELLOY: All right. Thank you, everyone. I was very concerned after a call I had yesterday with some fellow judges with significantly fewer numbers than what we had today and we were having quite of bit of trouble with the bridge and we had some difficulty getting it to work, which is the reason for any email yesterday.

I'm glad we were able to get everybody on.

I sent out to you, as you know, yesterday an email with some of my tentative thoughts about the emergency motion filed by the State of New Mexico, but before we go into those specific points I guess I'll let New Mexico be heard on its motion.

Whoever wants to speak for the State of New Mexico, please identify yourself and you may proceed.

MR. ROMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. This is David Roman on behalf of the State of New Mexico. I certainly read carefully your email and your initial inclinations and I don't want to belabor any of the points that we raised in our motion and am happy to stand for questions that you have, but -- I guess I would start off by saying that I understand your inclination that a six-month stay that we requested isn't realistic, but I would say that the identification and substitution of new

lead trial counsel is much more significant of an undertaking than I believe is reflected in Texas's response or the U.S.'s response and it's much more significant than simply obviously changing a name on a case caption or adding an additional attorney to an already existing team. It's much more existential than that. In order to become fully engaged in this case in the manner that would be necessary to responsibly represent the State of New Mexico, new lead counsel would need to not only review all of the pleadings that have gone forward in this case, but digest over 35 expert reports and all of the voluminous accompanied scientific data, the references disclosed by the parties, it has to become familiar with all of the discovery to date which includes over 500,000 documents -- not just pages, but documents -- in this complex case that's played out over many decades. They'll have to meet with witnesses and especially New Mexico agency personnel at the Office of the State Engineer, the Interstate Stream Commission. They'll have to work closely with existing counsel. And importantly, they will have to determine the next steps needed to effect their trial and strategy decisions and they'll have to do all that under the

Shannon N. Benter-Moine, CSR-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 circumstances -- the very difficult and novel 2 circumstances that we're facing right now. 3 course, the State is currently working diligently to identify new lead counsel, but even that process 4 5 has been greatly hampered by the current 6 restrictions. In-person meetings can't happen. 7 Even normal operations in talking with who these 8 people might be are compromised. Even once 9 identified they would be for the time being greatly 10 handicapped in terms of being able to get up to 11 speed simply because they can't have the -- not 12 only can't have the procedures that would normally 13 happen in this case, but even reviewing certain 14 documents would be impossible at this point because 15 of restrictions on accessing documents from people 16 who are working at home and don't have access to 17 servers and billions that are currently on 18 lock-downs. At this point asking for a six-month 19 stay is not something that we're doing lightly, but 20 it's something that's believed to be the minimum 21 amount of time it would be necessary to bring in 22 and have someone able to engage in a manner that 23 would allow the State to be represented in such a 24 way that this case could be fully developed and 25 tried in a manner that is befitting of an original

action. I definitely --

2.4

JUDGE MELLOY: Well --

MR. ROMAN: Go ahead, Your Honor. I don't want to cut you off.

JUDGE MELLOY: No. Go ahead. Finish your thought.

MR. ROMAN: The last thing I would say,
Your Honor, is I hope you understand that I
understand the difficulty that this is placing the
State in. It's not something that I take lightly.
It's been the most difficult decision certainly
professionally that I've ever had to make and I
don't want to be putting the State in a difficult
position, but giving new lead counsel the
opportunity to even begin to digest this complex
case basically let alone from the nuances is
something that I think that amount of a stay would
necessitate.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, let me ask you two things before we go on. First of all, I think it was Texas that responded that Mr. Rael has been designated as lead counsel all along.

What is your response to that?

MR. ROMAN: Your Honor, that is true that he has been designated on the captions as lead

counsel. I have been the one who has had the bulk of all of the day-to-day operations of the case whether it be issuing and responding to discovery, taking and preparing for a number of depositions, coordinating all of the case coordination with state agencies, even working on the day-to-day strategy, meeting with the other parties and that's been the role that new lead counsel would have to step in and fill. Because of competing cases of large stature Mr. Rael has not been involved to the extent that may have been thought otherwise.

That's what I would respond to that question.

2.4

have is that even if you were allowed some additional time to get a new attorney and get up to speed, why does it have to be a 100 percent stay? Why can't we continue to do document discovery -- As I understand it, you have a large team and different people are doing different things. I think asking for a total cessation of all proceedings for six months was not realistic.

MR. ROMAN: Your Honor, I understand that is your inclination on that. I want to be clear that when we asked for the stay that it was with the understanding that there would be continued

1 supplemental disclosures as required by the federal 2 rules and by the case management plan with respect 3 to ongoing electronic discovery -- ESI discovery --4 as well as supplemental disclosures based on 5 requests for additional information that arose out 6 of depositions that have been taken and other 7 supplemental discovery disclosures as required to 8 supplement what ongoing discovery is. You know, 9 this is still an evolving case. The U.S. recently 10 produced about 80,000 pages of additional documents 11 and has now indicated that they have about 30,000 12 additional documents that it anticipates producing 13 soon and an additional group of an unknown number 14 of documents for which it doesn't even have a 15 target date at this point. Similarly, I know 16 Colorado has indicated they have some additional 17 documents of an unsure time frame as well that they 18 will be disclosing, so this isn't a static 19 situation. Even if we were to have a stay on 20 ongoing litigation, there would be some additional 21 documents. We can certainly continue with that, 22 but my concern in having other aspects of the case 23 move forward is that in bringing in new lead 24 counsel there are strategic decisions that they 25 would have to be making in conjunction with the

current team and the course of litigation and responses that as the ground is shifting beneath them and it's an evolving situation it becomes all the more difficult to be in a position to not only get up to speed, but to adequately represent the State as conditions continue to change.

2.4

The other thing I would say on that, Your Honor, is that in your email yesterday you indicated that it was your intent to have a ruling on all of the pending motions before you in the next couple weeks. Obviously that's going to greatly change the complexion of the case one way or the other. However it is that Your Honor ends up ruling, we may be looking at a significantly different case in a few weeks than we are currently and a stay of the proceedings in order to re-evaluate what is currently assumed about the status of the case is another reason to have new counsel then come in based on the changed complexion of the case.

JUDGE MELLOY: Where is the State in terms of hiring a new counsel?

MR. ROMAN: I'm going to defer to Mr.

Rael on this , Your Honor. I don't want this to be a tag-team between the two of us, but he's in a

much better position than I am to be able to address that right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RAEL: This is Marcus Rael, Your We're in the process of trying to identify Honor. whether somebody from my firm or bringing in somebody else to work with us to try to bring it up to speed. Your Honor, I have been listed as lead counsel in the case, but, as Mr. Roman stated, I took a step back due to other litigation that I had and whether it's myself, somebody else from my firm or a combination of the two, it's going to take time for that person to come up to speed and be able to make sure that we properly represent the I think any prejudice that Texas or anyone State. else is facing, Your Honor, pales in comparison to having a full record in this matter and making sure that the State is properly able to put its case forward so that we don't end up with a Florida v. Georgia situation where the Court is sending it back to you and asking you to make sure that we get a full record going. The fact of the matter is if a state has something to say, I think the Court has been clear that they want to hear it. prejudice that Texas or any party would face is minimal in comparison to making sure that the State

of New Mexico is able to get all of their evidence before you and is able to do a good job in doing so.

JUDGE MELLOY: Anything else you want to say about these matters before I give the other parties a chance to respond?

MR. ROMAN: Your Honor, this is David

Roman. I had two other issues to discuss. One related to rebuttal expert reports and one related to your proposed hearing at the end of April. I can discuss those right now with Your Honor's indulgence or if you would prefer to address the issues --

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead. I want to hear it.

MR. ROMAN: Okay. Thank you. Regarding the rebuttal expert reports, obviously we're requesting a full stay and that would include those reports. Currently Mexico has depositions of additional Texas and U.S. experts that would need to be completed prior to completion of those rebuttal reports and certain depositions that have been scheduled that can't go forward now for obvious reasons.

JUDGE MELLOY: When were they scheduled

Shannon N. Benter-Moine, CSR

2.4

for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

One was scheduled for the MR. ROMAN: previous week, I believe, last week. believe it was the previous week. It had to be Others we were planning to schedule. Two important ones -- I would say very, very important ones -- are of non-retained United States expert witnesses that are very key players in this We were waiting on documents from the United States related to their non-retained expert opinions and did not feel that we could go forward with those depositions in the absence of having received the documents that those experts were relying on for their opinions. The United States disclosed last night the documents related to the expert opinion of one of those experts and we don't have the documents yet for the other non-retained I want to be clear. We requested those expert. documents shortly after the disclosure of the non-retained experts and I don't want there to be any thought that I'm saying there's any bad faith whatsoever on the part of the United States. know that they have been working diligently to get these documents and that's simply the way it is as far as when they have been able to disclose them,

1 but we were not in a position to go forward with 2 these important depositions -- I felt -- in the 3 absence of those documents on which the experts 4 relied. Because they were non-retained experts 5 rather than your typical retained experts, there's 6 not the same type of immediate disclosure 7 requirement as there would be for retained experts 8 and I think that's part of what accounted for the 9 delay, but, again, we haven't been able to take 10 some of those required depositions. Even if the 11 problem of not having these needed depositions 12 could be resolved by being able to file 13 supplemental rebuttal reports following those 14 depositions and also in response to expected 15 supplemental expert opinions by Texas -- I should 16 step back and say that at least one of Texas's 17 modeling rebuttal experts has indicated that he's 18 working on additional opinions to be disclosed at a 19 later unknown date. Even if we were able to file 20 supplemental reports based on those later 21 depositions and based on the later supplemental 22 opinions, we're still in a very difficult position 23 with regard to filing those expert reports simply 2.4 because of the current circumstances. Our experts 25 are facing some very significant logistical

problems right now. Pretty much all of them are They are not able to work quarantined at home. under their normal conditions including having support staff. Many of them are taking care of Most significantly when it comes to kids at home. modeling rebuttal reports, they are not able to run these very complex models in an efficient manner on home computers. One of them -- I know several of them actually have had issues with not only very slow, slow processing, but also frequent crashes resulting in having to restart model runs. All of the normal meetings and back and forth and finishing up that goes into a lot of these very complex reports is just being significantly disrupted so that even if we were in a position of being able to respond in supplemental reports to late arriving information at a later date, we would still request at least a brief extension of two weeks on the expert reports and rebuttal reports simply due to the inability of all of those experts to work in the manner in which they normally do and the logistical problems associated with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The final point I would make, Your Honor, is clearly it makes sense to have a hearing at the end of April to evaluate where we are in terms of this

ever-evolving changing-daily virus situation and to discuss when depositions and other aspects of the normal course of litigation can resume, but I would respectfully urge you to wait -- regardless of the status of whether we have a stay or not, I would urge you to wait until June to have a hearing on the substantive trial and case-related issues you raised in your email. As we discussed before, whatever ruling you'd make in the next few weeks is going to significantly alter the case and new lead counsel is not only going to have to learn all the factual, technical and legal landscape of this really complex case in a very short amount of time, but is going to have to do so in the new context of whatever this case becomes. I'd say that holding a hearing at which the major strategic decisions are going to affect the case and the course of the trial prior to the time that lead trial counsel will have had an opportunity to become even basically familiar with the issues let alone familiar with its nuances would not only work great prejudice to the State of New Mexico, but I think would undermine the finality of what's decided at this hearing as far as how trial would proceed. I'd hate to be in a situation where decisions made

at that point would have to be revisited based on changed circumstances. To enable new lead trial counsel to be able to be in a position to argue and work with the Court and the parties on a strategic way forward about trial, about bifurcation, about mediation, all these very important decisions, I would respectfully urge that they at least have until June to be in a position to address those issues with the Court. And with that, I will ask if you have any questions for me or I would turn it over to hear from the other parties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: I don't have any No. further questions. Let me just make this comment. I may have overstated a little bit in the email. My main goal was to come up with a trial date so that we can block time out on the calendar so we know when the trial is going to start. anticipate that the mechanics of the trial as to how we're going to sequence witnesses -- Whether we do it as everybody put on their evidence with their historical experts in one chunk and then in a second phase everybody put on their hydrological expert testimony, I don't know. I'm just speculating at this point. I think that's going to be an evolving discussion over the next year as we

get closer to the trial, but I want to at some point fairly soon have a date so that we know what it is, people can put it in their calendar and we don't get to a position where six months from now we try to put a trial date and somebody says, "I've already made plans to do this", somebody else has plans to do something else. I want to get something on the calendar fairly soon that we can block out. Whether we do it at the end of April, I'm not 100 percent certain, but I would certainly like to be thinking about it at that point.

2.4

Having said that, maybe I'll let the United

States respond first. I hear Mr. Roman say you owe
them a lot of documents and they can't get the
rebuttal expert reports completed until you get
them those documents so they can take some
depositions. What is your response to all that?

MR. DUBOIS: Well, Your Honor, with respect to --

JUDGE MELLOY: Excuse me one second. I'd ask the parties to move their phone if they are not speaking. Go ahead.

MR. DUBOIS: Jim Dubois with the United States. We had scheduled Dr. Blair and we tried to reschedule because we weren't able to get the

documents at first and we'd offered some other When those weren't accepted for this month, the ability to put the fear of God in the unretained experts to get me documents sort of was harder to sustain. Now the dates that have been -that were previously selected for Dr. Blair would have been at the end of April and so the production of the documents this week didn't seem particularly We're still gathering Dr. King's problematic. To be honest, Your Honor, as far as -documents. you know, I understand that the depositions are needed for the rebuttal reports. They will have all the documents for both of these experts. Blair documents are uploaded and the King documents should be uploaded before the end of this month, so they are going to have that assuming that we have a stay on depositions for the 60 to 90 days that you They are going to have more than indicated. adequate time to look at all the documents before That said, obviously there were a any depositions. lot of depositions that were scheduled for April. Not merely these two non-retained experts, but a lot of other experts as well. We've never been asked for -- frankly, we haven't even been talked to about noticing our experts for which reports

were submitted. It's a bit disingenuous -- I'm just saying it's a bit disingenuous to say this is all because the United States didn't get them the documents they need in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Let me clarify what you're saying. Are you saying that you were asked to make your non-retained experts available for depositions, but you've never been asked to make your retained experts available?

MR. DUBOIS: Yes and no, Your Honor. Wе were asked for dates for one of the two non-retained experts. We have never been asked for a date for the originally disclosed retained expert for which an expert witness report was submitted. Some of our experts have been deposed. The Bureau folks, the Bureau of Reclamation folks who were disclosed as employee experts, if you will, those have been deposed, but our retained expert modeling hydrology type person has never even been I'm merely saying that a lot of stuff requested. was going to be going on in April. I understand that that set of depositions presents -- or that the vacating of all of those depositions is going to present a problem for rebuttal reports to some I think the United States is not degree.

particularly concerned if there's at least some extension on the rebuttals, particularly since we're going to stay depositions for -- I think what I had proposed is 60 days. Yes, we're still getting the documents for one of the non-retained experts and doing that disclosure, but they have got Dr. Blair's documents now and given the fact that pretty much all depositions are going to be stayed for some period of time, that one is a non-issue frankly. I think all parties are still disclosing ESI as they are finding it. Saying that this is all because the United States hasn't disclosed a few documents and that that's what's driving this I think is somewhat disingenuous.

2.4

JUDGE MELLOY: Do you have anything else you want to say about the rest of New Mexico's motion?

MR. DUBOIS: Yeah, Your Honor. I think
that -- I understand Mr. Roman's issue and I
sympathize with it. I think he's in a tough
position, but I will point out that Mr. Roman is
not the only counsel engaged with this. Trout
Raley has been intimately involved and has been
doing the depositions of a lot of the technical
folks, that they do have other counsel involved, so

1 it's not like it's -- Although Mr. Roman has been 2 doing sort of Herculean effort sort of as a one-man 3 show in Mexico, it's not like he's doing this thing 4 alone and so it's not really a matter of bringing 5 up one single attorney to take on all of this. 6 There is a team of attorneys working on this. 7 think that a six-month stay that seems to have 8 ongoing obligations for everyone but New Mexico is 9 not something that I think is an acceptable process 10 for the United States and I think what we have 11 suggested, which was essentially a two-month stay 12 on depositions which effectively would be a stay on 13 the depositions, but the written discovery 14 essentially would be continuing -- and as I read 15 your email, the written discovery process would 16 just continue and essentially be extended to the 17 end of depositions, whenever that is. The written 18 discovery would proceed as normal going forward to 19 whatever our ended up cut-off date is for 20 depositions. That makes some sense to just 21 continue the written discovery process and that 22 includes ESI and everything else. A two-month stay 23 when we can't really finish things because we can't 24 get at the discovery of the experts should allow 25 time for completion of the ESI, getting all of the

documents if there's outstanding requests for documents pending. I don't anticipate that that's Then we'd have -- under our proposal a problem. we'd have time to finish the depositions and we should be able to coordinate those while the deposition stuff is being stayed. I think that a six-month extension and pushing a trial into essentially late 2021 is not consistent with sort of getting this thing done. New Mexico does have other counsel engaged in this case, very competent counsel. I think that what we have proposed is not as draconian as either what's been proposed by New Mexico nor as tight as proposed by Texas. that it is a middle ground that should be workable. It gives time to get out new counsel, bring them up to speed and then proceed to finishing the depositions that remain to be taken, both the ones that were scheduled for this month and any additional ones. I think New Mexico in its motion also eluded to other depositions that it hadn't yet I assume that will include the United States' retained expert from Stetson Engineers. Wе should be able to schedule all that within the kind of time frame that I laid out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Texas, I'll

let you speak. Mr. Somach, what is your thought?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOMACH: Yes, Your Honor. First of all, I'd like to say that we are comfortable with what you had laid out in your tentative email and that we'd make ourselves available any day during the week of April 27th for a conference.

With respect to the substance of what's been discussed, from the very beginning from our very first telephone conferencing and onward the State of Texas has been concerned about moving this case forward in a diligent fashion. I know that I have made that statement more than once to you both on the phone and in various times we've met in-person. We believe -- I believe that much of New Mexico's current problem -- aside from the virus issue which I'll address in a minute -- has been a result of their own decisions. They are the ones that decided who and how many people to put on the litigation of this very important case and they are the ones who decided not to take depositions early in the case, not to zealously and vigorously take depositions after the initial reports were issued and then after the plaintiff's rebuttal reports were issued. Those were decisions that we found curious, but they were decisions that they were

free to make. Prior to this latest round of requests for delay we were aware of the fact that -- because they asked whether or not we would agree to a three-month extension of discovery. have prepared from the very beginning to litigate this case vigorously. We have taken depositions. Most of the depositions scheduled for April were depositions that we had scheduled. Only one deposition that New Mexico requested, which was of Mr. Hornburger or Dr. Hornburger, was canceled because of the virus. That was the only deposition that they had on schedule during the month of The rest of the depositions were scheduled for April, which was after the date that their expert reports were due. We were fully expecting that report to be -- those reports to be issued next week so that we could work on them. were -- until the virus hit -- fully expecting discovery to be over in May. We do not believe and we are prepared regardless of how the Special Master rules on the motions to proceed. Texas's ESI is completed and has been completed since January. What we hear from New Mexico -- and there are really many things and it's not just that Mr. Roman is leaving. It's that they are not ready

1 in any event even if Mr. Roman is staying. 2 no cause for delay in terms of their reports which 3 are due next week. We do certainly -- I mean, for God's sake, I'm over 70 and I don't want to be 4 5 traveling around taking depositions. We certainly 6 understand that the depositions that were scheduled 7 for April, which were scheduled for a time after 8 these reports would be done, ought to be continued 9 until it is safe for folks to travel. I have no 10 objection. I'm not getting on a plane. That's for 11 That's quite a different issue than what certain. 12 you're hearing here. They asked for a nine-month 13 extension; a six-month stay, a three-month 14 extension of time after that of discovery. 15 frankly, I think what we've proposed is the right 16 way to proceed, which is very close to certainly 17 what you have suggested in your tentative issue. 18 This notion that this is all because Mr. Roman is 19 leaving is just not accurate. They have done very 20 little in terms of keeping on schedule. They are 21 under the gun and in addition to the problems that 22 Mr. Roman's leaving provides they had their own 23 problems before that because they simply hadn't 24 moved forward as diligently as you would have 25 expected. All of that was due to choices they made

from how many people they had litigating on New Mexico's behalf -- They seemed to have a lot of people at depositions. Apparently not all of them were working on the case. You're hearing the frustration in my voice because this is just exactly what we've experienced since way back when Mr. Rael said they weren't ready, that the schedule had to accommodate them, that they needed six more months than Texas did before they could issue their This is a refrain we've heard from expert reports. day one of this litigation and it continues and it continues today. At some point someone has to say, "Look, we've got to get done. We've got to set a trial date. We need to move forward." Again, you can hear the frustration in my voice and I'm not sure there's much more I could add. We would certainly adhere to what you tentatively suggested yesterday and if you have any questions of me, I'd be more than happy to answer them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: I just have a question for Mr. Roman. I'm hearing from both the United States and Texas that you haven't really done much by way of depositions up to this point and that this is somewhat of a self-inflicted problem. What's your response to that?

25

MR. ROMAN: Your Honor, my response is that I don't believe that that's accurate. We have done a number of depositions, especially with respect to experts and technical experts. in the process of trying to schedule additional depositions of experts for these expert reports. I would point out that while Mr. Somach said it was a curious choice that we didn't take depositions of their experts immediately after they were disclosed, I would point out that when we disclosed our expert reports at the end of October that there were very few expert depositions that Texas took prior to the time their rebuttal reports were due and many of the depositions that they have scheduled or attempted to schedule throughout the month of April were of experts that had been disclosed back in October either that had been deposed one time and then held open to be deposed again or they are fact experts who had been deposed before and then were being deposed again, other experts who hadn't been deposed in the first place. There was a significant period of time between disclosures and when those depositions were set. The fact of the matter is we have attempted to be as diligent as possible in scheduling these

depositions. As far as not taking certain fact depositions early on, as Your Honor is well aware there have been so many documents disclosed and the time that it's taken to go through all of those documents to be able to know what's out there, where we are, what questions to ask has -- was very significant and certainly did eat into time that other depositions could have been taken. We didn't have nine people on our team that were able to go through documents and set up the depositions. weren't coordinating with -- I believe Texas and the U.S. are able to coordinate pretty closely on a number of these issues. We didn't have that. not a matter of not being diligent and not working on things. It's a matter of what the facts have led us to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I would also make one other point. When talking about the team here and the size of things, Trout Raley in Colorado was brought up and certainly has played a very significant role especially with respect to the technical aspect of the case, but it would be I think incorrect to suggest that they would be in a role to step up what is -- the coordination that has to happen within the State of New Mexico. Not only are they

fully extended already on the technical aspect of things, but so much of this case has to do with state entities and all the coordination that has to go on there I believe has to be done locally and that's why the -- My understanding is that the Attorney General is doing everything possible to have local lead trial counsel to be able to effect that in a way that would not be possible for someone out-of-state, especially that's already overburdened.

MR. RAEL: This is Marcus Rael. Can I say a couple of things as well?

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead.

MR. RAEL: Your Honor, I take exception to how Mr. Somach portrayed my testimony at the hearing before Your Honor when we were trying to set the schedule. I never said New Mexico wasn't ready. What I said was that the compressed schedule that Texas was proposing and ultimately even the schedule that Your Honor finally put into place was too quick and that New Mexico -- that I didn't think any of the parties were going to be able to get it done in that compressed time frame. That's turned out to be relatively true. A lot of that can be blamed on the COVID-19, but the fact of

the matter is I never said New Mexico wasn't ready. I talked about the compressed schedule. New Mexico isn't trying to move the trial date to the end of We're perfectly fine with your proposed trial date of May to June of 2021, Your Honor. What we're concerned about is making sure that we're able to put the proper record before Your Honor. I think Mr. Roman's point in response to Mr. Somach's accusations that New Mexico has been dilatory are correct, Your Honor. The fact of the matter is Texas took up almost every date in April for depositions. They're doing that for a reason. The fact of the matter is they haven't taken their depositions either and it's really difficult for us to be able to take the depositions when all of the dates in April are taken. They took up all the way to the last day of discovery, Your Honor. just the reality of the situation we're all facing. This is not on New Mexico not representing their position correctly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Mr. Wallace, do you have anything you want to say for Colorado?

MR. WALLACE: I'll be very brief, Your

Honor. First off, I am available for the

conference at the end of April as you indicated you

wanted to have. Postponing depositions certainly makes sense in the light of circumstances.

2.4

Colorado has no objection whatsoever to postponing those for an appropriate length of time. Colorado takes no position on the other forms of stay.

We're simply not involved enough to form an opinion on those.

JUDGE MELLOY: Any of the amici want to be heard?

MS. BARNCASTLE: Your Honor, this is

Samantha Barncastle for the Elephant Butte

Irrigation District. I have a complicating factor

I'd like to add to the situation if you'd allow me.

JUDGE MELLOY: Go ahead.

MS. BARNCASTLE: Your Honor, a couple months ago I began reaching out to counsel for New Mexico because some of the depositions that were referenced that haven't been taken -- particularly of the United States' non-retained experts -- are actually EBID's consultant. One of them is EBID's consultant and two of the other witnesses that haven't yet been deposed are listed as Texas' experts. Those are EBID employees. They are all potentially going to need to be deposed related to New Mexico's counterclaims. If those counterclaims

25

do end up going away, this may be a non-issue. The reason I reached out to New Mexico was because as counsel in this case are aware I am pregnant at this point in time and I'm going to be leaving on maternity leave sometime around the 1st to the middle of June and I informed New Mexico of this situation because we were running out of time to take depositions and I had not yet been contacted about dates for my particular client or consultant. As the United States indicated, only one of their non-retained experts had been deposed and that was the one that the United States had worked with New Mexico to get dates for those depositions. terms of the other two non-retained experts, those have not yet been deposed. I have not to date received any requests for dates for any of my consulting witness or clients and so I have no idea if New Mexico intends to take those depositions and if they do the problem will be that if we have a stay and we put off depositions, New Mexico is effectively out of time when it comes to my maternity leave and I'll be leaving probably around the 1st of June, so based on the schedule as I understand it in your email New Mexico will need to be ready to come back and take those depositions in

the last week or two of May to have those done before I'm out for a possible eight-week maternity leave due to the fact that I'm going to be requiring a planned C-section as a result of health concerns that I am currently dealing with. I take no real position, but I just wanted to let the Court know that that is going to be a potentially limiting factor.

JUDGE MELLOY: So the depositions of your employees who have been designated as experts would not be -- could not be occurred basically during June or July is what you're saying?

MS. BARNCASTLE: I don't know exactly when my doctors will schedule the planned C-section; the reason being that it depends on the health of my baby and I and that is currently a situation that's very much up in the air. We're hoping for around June 15th, that I can make it to there, but I've been told to plan for as early as being out on June 1st and so it would be six to eight weeks depending upon how well I recover from the point in time that I go out of work. June and July possibly into the early weeks of August, but I'm hoping that that's not the case.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Any of the
-Shannon N. Benter-Moine, CSR-

2.4

other amici want to be heard?

MS. O'BRIEN: Yes. Your Honor, this is
Maria O'Brien for EP No. 1. I just wanted to say
as an initial matter we believe Your Honor has and
we greatly appreciate the guidance addressed in
your email sent yesterday the issue that EP No. 1
raised in our request for the now canceled March
31st hearing as well as in our response to New
Mexico's emergency motion and that is with regards
to the stated and the need for a ruling on the
pending motions to dismiss. We appreciate Your
Honor's guidance on that issue in terms of the
timing of when we might receive additional guidance
regarding that. Again, we appreciate that.

Generally in terms of extensions, stays or delay we certainly defer to the Special Master in terms of synthesizing and evaluating the input from the various parties and amici as to what is the best path forward given the circumstances. We do believe that the United States in their response letter to New Mexico's emergency motion has made a good proposal with regard to potential reasonable approaches for those necessary extensions and delays with one clarification we believe. I think Mr. Dubois touched on this, but just to be clear,

not only do we believe that written discovery in terms of responses should continue, but that additional discovery responses could be propounded understanding there might be need for extensions to those in terms of trying to keep things moving where that makes sense under the circumstances.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The final thing I would just like to note, something that EP No. 1 is intimately familiar with is the issue of the non-retained experts that has received some discussion at this point. Mr. Dubois mentioned Dr. Blair who is the district engineer for EP No. 1 and I just wanted to be clear that Dr. Blair's deposition was actually scheduled, noticed for March 2nd and 3rd in Austin and we were working diligently with the United States and Mexico to provide documents in advance of that deposition as has been the case with all other supplemental disclosures with regard to all Those are produced sometimes in advance and sometimes on the day of deposition and that was, in fact, going to be the case and Mexico determined that it wanted to cancel that deposition for what I understood to be scheduling reasons and we subsequently offered additional dates for next week in advance of New Mexico's due date for their

rebuttal expert reports and those dates were declined. I just wanted to be clear that there were definitive dates set for those depositions and everybody was working in terms of United States and EP No. 1 to move that forward. Thank you, Your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Any of the other amici want to be heard?

That's all I have at this point.

MR. BROCKMANN: Your Honor, this is Jim Brockmann. I will also try to be brief.

In general, we support New Mexico's position. I believe it's absolutely critical in a case of this magnitude and importance that New Mexico be able to have trial counsel that it is comfortable with to replace Mr. Roman and sort of the critical role played. Although other attorneys are part of the team, there's a big difference between people that have worked on the case in capacity that might help with document production and written discovery versus having a trial counsel that is used to trying cases of this magnitude or are experienced in that way. The argument that simply other attorneys that have worked on the case are able to step up and step in I think sort of overstates that possibility. It's important that New Mexico be

I think

1 able to select and get up to speed a trial counsel 2 that can coordinate with other aspects. 3 Mr. Roman did a good job of describing the complexity of that task. Our firm has worked on 4 5 past original actions and this matter is moving 6 forward since you have been involved at a light 7 speed compared to some of the cases that we worked 8 on starting in the '80s and '90s and I'm sure the 9 Court likes to see these things moved along. 10 would caution against pushing it too fast so that 11 we do not get in the situation that they had in 12 Florida vs. Georgia. Cases we've had have had 13 individual witnesses go on for three weeks at a 14 It is an absolutely Herculean task time, experts. 15 for somebody who is not familiar with this case to 16 understand it. Likewise, I think there's a 17 difficulty in asking people that aren't litigation counsel or that have experience in that role to try 18 19 to step in and do a trial that can last for weeks 20 and in this case it would be months. I wanted just 21 to sort of emphasize those points. Other than 22 that, we fully support New Mexico's position and 23 don't think that it is at all unreasonable and that 24 New Mexico should be able to adequately decide who 25 the best substitute is for Mr. Roman and that's

something that they are working diligently on. Thank you, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, let me just say JUDGE MELLOY: this. I was encouraged by the comment from Mr. Rael that he felt a May/June time frame for the start of the trial is still realistic, which, of course, is a delay from what was in the last scheduling order. I would also like to make the comment that when we did the last scheduling order, which was done in light of the government shutdown of early 2019 or late 2018, there actually was some slippage built into the schedule. I was quite frankly concerned that there would be another shutdown at the start of the new fiscal year or something else had come up. I had not in my wildest imagination thought of a Coronavirus, but that's neither here nor there. I do think there is some time in the schedule between the time of pretrial and actually from the time of filing the motions to the trial date, which is about six months later than the original trial schedule. So if we add a couple months to that, now we're talking about eight months. I think we could probably redo the schedule in such a way to make a May/June trial date. And also just to follow up on

Ιn

1 the comments Mr. Brockmann just made, I suspect 2 that this trial is probably going to stretch out 3 over several months unfortunately. I hope we can 4 conclude this more quickly than that, but I'm 5 assuming that even if the trial goes along that 6 there will be developments that will require some 7 supplemental discovery or -- The start of the trial 8 may not be the end of it. My goal would be at this 9 point to try to come up with a trial management 10 schedule at the end of April that would accommodate 11 a start of trial in May/June and then work back 12 Hopefully that will give New Mexico from there. 13 time to get somebody on board and get them up to 14 speed and from my understanding from Mr. Rael he 15 thinks that's realistic. That will be my goal. 16 the meantime I would say that, as indicated 17 previously, the deposition discovery will be stayed 18 pending a hearing. At this time I would anticipate 19 that it would be a telephonic hearing at the end of 20 If there's any way that it could be 21 in-person, I would prefer to do that. We have a 22 lot of issues to discuss and it's difficult to do 23 it in a conference phone format with this many 24 parties, but I don't think that's probably going to 25 If for some reason it would look be realistic.

like putting it back a week to the first week of May or something like that and we could do it in-person, I would consider doing that, but right now let's assume it will be telephonic and during the week of April 27 and we'll get a notice out on that. In the meantime I'm going to direct that the parties need not file their status report on March 31st. I may ask for some type of updated status report before the hearing at the end of April, but at the current time you do not need to file your status report. As far as New Mexico's rebuttal expert is concerned, I will delay the deadline on submission of that report until we can discuss it further at the end of April.

2.4

Let me ask the parties something I meant to ask about the rebuttal experts. Are they the same experts who did the initial reports or are we talking about different experts?

MR. ROMAN: Your Honor, are you asking for New Mexico specifically?

JUDGE MELLOY: Yeah. I'll ask you. Then let me ask the other parties generally.

MR. ROMAN: For our rebuttal reports,
Your Honor, they are the same experts as were
disclosed at the end of October. We do not have

new experts who will be issuing rebuttal reports.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: I mean, these shouldn't be super long. You already have the initial report from the plaintiff's experts and then your expert had to prepare a report that, in essence, rebutted that initial report. Then we have the plaintiff's rebuttal report --

MR. ROMAN: What makes it different, Your Honor, is that in addition to rebuttals by Texas' experts who were disclosed initially, Texas put forward a number of new experts as well for their rebuttal reports so that our rebuttal reports that are due are not only responding to the reports as initially filed by Texas, but also responding to a number of new experts that were disclosed by Texas in their December 30th disclosures as well as the four non-retained expert reports that were disclosed by the United States on December 30th and so it's not a situation where it's static by any means where you have your typical report, response and rebuttal. There's a significant amount of new information that also has to be responded to both from existing experts, but from a number of new experts as well, so it's not nearly as discrete of a situation as it might otherwise

appear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Your Honor, if I could, this MR. SOMACH: is Stuart Somach from the State of Texas. I want to make sure that I'm on the record objecting to the extra time you're giving New Mexico for these surrebuttal reports. We have diligently provided our reports in a timely manner. We have done so in an appropriate manner. That is, we didn't go outside and create brand new issues. additional reports, which we did in a timely fashion as appropriate, were done based upon issues that were raised by New Mexico many of which had nothing to do with Texas' case in chief at all, but had to do with the issues that they were raising in the context of their counterclaims. There's nothing inappropriate about anything that we filed. In every round that we have had we have been under the gun in terms of limited time to pull reports together. If you go back and take a look at the schedule, the schedule provides us with little time and provides New Mexico with a great deal more time to do this right down to our rebuttal reports which were done very quickly after the New Mexico reports were done. We didn't take a lot of depositions after their initial reports and the reason for that

was there wasn't a whole lot in there that concerned us and we thought we might as well wait, let's see what they put together with respect to their surrebuttal reports and if necessary we'll take depositions at that point in time. Right now they have been given more time for all their reports by months and months than Texas was provided and now rather than having to issue their reports next week, which we have been expecting, they have at least another month in order to pull those reports together. I just want to make sure that I'm on the record for the State of Texas objecting to that extra time. I do believe that all of these delays prejudice Texas adversely and prejudices New Mexico lightly. There's consequences to these delays. Each one of them enhances New Mexico's ability to litigate the case and hampers Texas' ability to prepare and to prosecute its case. Again, you're hearing my frustration, but I just want to make sure the record is clear of what Texas' position is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I guess my response, Mr. Somach, would be a couple things. You know, nobody anticipated COVID-19 and I am not unsympathetic to the argument that Mr. Roman has

made that in addition to the problem with scheduling depositions in advance of the rebuttal reports, just the mechanics of people working from home and to try to get support staff to do what they need to do to run the models, I think that's not an unrealistic concern and I believe they should have some additional time.

2.4

Now, in response to your argument that I cut

Texas short and gave New Mexico extra time, it's my

recollection that you told me at the very outset

you didn't need the time, that you were ready to go

and that you didn't ask for any more time and that

you didn't need it.

MR. SOMACH: And we're still ready to go.

JUDGE MELLOY: But you were just

complaining that you were cut short and my

recollection is you said you didn't need the time

and you were ready to go and that's why you got

what you got.

MR. SOMACH: Yes, and it's not that we were cut short. I want to make sure that -- You'll never hear that from us at the end of the day, that we were cut short, but we had to work aggressively in order to meet those time frames whereas there appears to be none of that coming from New Mexico.

If you want to say because of the virus -- if you want to say, "okay, those expert reports are due on the 27th of April", I'll accept that, but that's not what you ruled. What you said was that you would look at them at that point in time which automatically means they are going to get more time after that. If it's April 27th that those reports are due, so be it. I will live with that. Texas will live with that. We'll live with, quite frankly, whatever you rule. That's quite different than, "We'll take a look at it on April 27th." That's automatically going to give them another month or two because at that point in time I fully expect New Mexico to explain why they couldn't possibly be ready in another week or so to file those reports, but --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MELLOY: I understand there is a problem with some depositions and the depositions aren't going to re-start before May 1st. I mean, that's just the unfortunate reality and hopefully we can get them going then, but we could be talking about a much longer shutdown. I'm hoping it isn't that way. Nobody knows. Things change from day to day. I just think it's out of our hands at this point as to when we can start deposition discovery

again. I certainly hope it's after the 1st of May, but -- I mean, quite frankly, I won't be shocked if it's the 1st of June or 1st of July.

MR. SOMACH: They had one deposition scheduled -- one deposition scheduled before all of this occurred before the deadline for when they were to file the report, so the fact that they somehow now need months of depositions before they can file their report is not even at best -- it's just disingenuous.

JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, you have made your position known. We're going to have a hearing at the end of April and we'll go from there. Let me see if there's anything else I need to talk about here. I think that pretty well covers it.

MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor, this is Jim

Dubois. The written discovery clarification that I

talked about and Ms. O'Brien talked about --

mention that. Just to be clear, the stay only applies to depositions. It does not apply to written discovery. I'm only extending the deadline for New Mexico's rebuttal report and we're staying depositions. All written discovery is to continue. All supplemental disclosures, all document

production is to continue as normal.

MR. DUBOIS: Okay. Thank you.

anything else. As far as the request for a six-month stay, that's denied at this time and I don't think there's any realistic chance I'm going to give a full six-month stay of all proceedings in this case. We'll talk about what additional time, if any, New Mexico may get at the April 27th hearing, but at this point that request is denied. Any other questions or anything I missed?

MR. DUBOIS: Your Honor, this is Jim

Dubois. I did not note that the United States is

also available any time the week of the 27th. I

just wanted to be clear on that. Thank you, Your

Honor.

JUDGE MELLOY: The way I put it in the memo is that you need only advise if you're not available. If anybody is not available, let me know. Other than that, I'll assume availability. All right? If nothing else, we're adjourned. Thank you, everyone.

(The conference concluded at 12:21 p.m.)

1 2 3 CERTIFICATE 4 5 I, Shannon N. Benter-Moine, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that, on the 19th day of March, 2020, at 6 Cedar Rapids, Iowa, that I reported in shorthand 7 the above teleconference, reduced the same to printing under my direction and supervision, and 8 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of all proceedings. 9 I further certify that I am not related to or 10 employed by any of the parties to this teleconference, and further that I am not a 11 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially 12 interested in the action. 13 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal this 1st day of May, 2020. 15 16 /s/ Shannon Benter-Moine 17 Certified Shorthand Reporter 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	3	•	49:7, 52:8	appropriate [3] -
	<u> </u>	9	address [4] - 15:2,	36:4, 47:8, 47:11
			16:12, 21:8, 28:16	April [24] - 16:10,
'80s [1] - 42:8	30,000 [1] - 13:11	9-700 [1] - 2:17	addressed [1] - 39:5	19:25, 22:9, 23:7,
'90s [1] - 42:8	300 [1] - 3:6	90 [1] - 23:17	adequate [1] - 23:19	23:21, 24:21, 28:6,
	30th [2] - 46:16,	919 [1] - 3:19	adequately [2] -	29:7, 29:14, 30:7,
1	46:18	95814 [2] - 1:20, 2:17	14:5, 42:24	32:16, 35:11, 35:16,
	319 [1] - 3:24	999 [1] - 2:14	adhere [1] - 31:17	35:25, 44:10, 44:20,
	31st [2] - 39:8, 45:8		adjourned [1] -	45:5, 45:9, 45:14,
/s [1] - 53:16	35 [1] - 9:12	Α	52:21	50:3, 50:7, 50:11,
	370 [1] - 2:14		advance [4] - 40:16,	51:13, 52:9
1	3711 [1] - 3:5		40:19, 40:25, 49:2	argue [1] - 21:3
	3rd [1] - 40:14	a.m [1] - 1:13	adversely[1] - 48:14	argument [3] -
1 [11] - 3:13, 3:19,	0.0[.]	ability [3] - 23:3,	advise[1] - 52:18	41:22, 48:25, 49:8
6:25, 7:3, 7:4, 7:6,	4	48:17, 48:18	1	arose [1] - 13:5
39:3, 39:6, 40:8,	-	able [30] - 8:8, 10:10,	advisor [1] - 5:22	arriving [1] - 19:17
		10:22, 15:1, 15:13,	affect [1] - 20:17	aside [1] - 28:15
40:12, 41:5	407 [1] - 2:5	15:17, 16:1, 16:2,	agencies [1] - 12:6	aspect [2] - 33:21,
100 [2] - 12:16, 22:10	480-0908 [1] - 3:24	17:25, 18:9, 18:12,	agency [1] - 9:19	34:1
1000 [1] - 1:20		18:19, 19:2, 19:6,	aggressively[1] -	aspects [3] - 13:22,
101 [1] - 2:6	5	19:16, 21:3, 22:25,	49:23	20:2, 42:2
111 [1] - 1:12		27:5, 27:23, 33:5,	ago [1] - 36:16	associated [1] -
11:00 [1] - 1:13		33:9, 33:12, 34:7,	agree [1] - 29:4	19:22
12548 [1] - 1:23	500 [2] - 1:20, 2:2	34:23, 35:7, 35:15,	ahead [6] - 11:3,	
12:21 [1] - 52:23	500,000 [1] - 9:16	41:14, 41:23, 42:1,	11:5, 16:14, 22:22,	assume [3] - 27:21, 45:4, 52:20
1300 [1] - 2:11	501 [1] - 2:17	42:24	34:13, 36:14	assumed [1] - 14:17
1305 [1] - 3:19	52401 [1] - 1:13	absence [2] - 17:12,	air [1] - 38:17	1
141 [2] - 1:1, 4:7	5550 [1] - 2:8	18:3	Albuquerque [6] -	assuming [2] -
1556 [1] - 3:11	_	absolutely [2] -	2:3, 2:9, 3:1, 3:14,	23:16, 44:5
15th [1] - 38:18	6	41:12, 42:14	5:25, 6:3	attempted [2] -
1849 [1] - 2:20		accept [1] - 50:3	allow [3] - 10:23,	32:15, 32:24
18th [1] - 2:14	60 m 22:17 25:4	acceptable [1] - 26:9	26:24, 36:13	attorney [4] - 9:5,
19 [2] - 1:11, 4:2	60 [2] - 23:17, 25:4	accepted [1] - 23:2	allowed [1] - 12:14	12:15, 26:5, 53:11
19th [1] - 53:6	7	access [1] - 10:16	almost [1] - 35:11	Attorney [5] - 1:22,
1st [8] - 37:5, 37:23,	7	accessing [1] -	alone [3] - 11:16,	5:2, 5:10, 5:21, 34:6
38:20, 50:19, 51:1,		10:15	20:20, 26:4	attorneys [3] - 26:6,
51:3, 53:14	70 [1] - 30:4	accommodate [2] -	alter [1] - 20:10	41:16, 41:23
	700 [1] - 2:3	31:8, 44:10	amici [5] - 5:25, 36:8,	August [1] - 38:23
2	7611 [1] - 2:24	accompanied [1] -	39:1, 39:18, 41:7	Austin [4] - 1:23, 3:6,
	78701 [1] - 3:20	9:13	amicus [1] - 6:17	3:20, 40:14
20044.7044	78711-2548 [1] - 1:23	accounted [1] - 18:8	amount [4] - 10:21,	Authority [2] - 3:2,
20044-7611 [1] - 2:24	78746 [1] - 3:6	accurate [2] - 30:19,	11:17, 20:13, 46:22	6:4
2018 [1] - 43:11		32:2	Anaya [1] - 2:1	automatically [2] -
2019 [1] - 43:11	8	accusations [1] -	ANDREW [1] - 3:18	50:6, 50:12
2020 [4] - 1:11, 4:2,		35:9	answer [1] - 31:19	availability [1] -
53:6, 53:14		Acosta [1] - 3:4	anticipate [3] -	52:20
2021 [3] - 27:8, 35:4,	80,000 [1] - 13:10	action [2] - 11:1,	21:18, 27:2, 44:18	available [7] - 24:7,
35:5	80202 [1] - 2:15	53:12	anticipated [1] -	24:9, 28:5, 35:24,
20240 [1] - 2:21	80203 [1] - 2:11	actions [1] - 42:5	48:24	52:14, 52:19
2067 [2] - 3:2, 3:8	87048 [1] - 3:17	add [3] - 31:16,	anticipates [1] -	AVENUE [1] - 1:13
2168 [1] - 3:14	87102 [1] - 2:3	36:13, 43:22	13:12	Avenue [2] - 2:2,
2240 [1] - 3:16	87103 [1] - 3:14	adding [1] - 9:5	Antonio [1] - 2:8	3:19
23 [1] - 1:11	87109-4127 [1] - 2:9	addition [3] - 30:21,	appear [1] - 47:1	aware [3] - 29:2,
2386 [1] - 3:22	87501 [1] - 2:6	46:9, 49:1	APPEARANCES[1] -	33:2, 37:3
27 [1] - 45:5	87504 [3] - 3:2, 3:9,	additional [18] - 9:5,	1:16	
27th [6] - 28:6, 50:3,	3:22	12:15, 13:5, 13:10,	applies [1] - 51:21	В
50:7, 50:11, 52:9,	88004 [1] - 3:11	13:12, 13:13, 13:16,	apply [1] - 51:21	
52:14		13:20, 16:20, 18:18,	appreciate [3] - 39:5,	baby [1] - 38:16
2nd [1] - 40:14		27:19, 32:5, 39:13,	39:11, 39:14	bad [1] - 17:21
		40:3, 40:24, 47:10,	approaches [1] -	
		.5.5, 15.27, 77.10,	39:23	Barfield [1] - 5:20
	1		1	1

BARFIELD[1] - 1:19 15:5, 26:4 cases [4] - 12:9, Coleman [1] - 4:18 conjunction [1] -41:21, 42:7, 42:12 Barncastle [3] -Broadway [1] - 2:11 Colorado [11] - 2:10, 13:25 3:10, 6:22, 36:11 **Brockmann** [6] - 3:1, caution [1] - 42:10 2:10, 2:11, 2:15, 4:8, consequences [1] -BARNCASTLE[5] -3:7, 6:1, 6:3, 41:10, Cedar [1] - 53:6 5:14, 13:16, 33:19, 48:16 3:10, 6:21, 36:10, 44.1 **CEDAR** [1] - 1:13 35:22, 36:3, 36:4 Conservation [3] -36:15, 38:13 BROCKMANN[4] certain [5] - 10:13, **COLORADO** [1] - 1:9 3:18, 7:6, 7:9 based [7] - 13:4, 3:1, 6:2, 6:7, 41:9 16:22, 22:10, 30:11, combination [1] consider [1] - 45:3 14:19, 18:20, 18:21, **brought** [1] - 33:19 33:1 15:11 consistent [1] - 27:8 21:1, 37:23, 47:11 Building [1] - 3:6 certainly [13] - 8:18, comfortable [2] consultant [3] **become** [3] - 9:7, **built** [1] - 43:12 11:11, 13:21, 22:10, 28:3, 41:14 36:20, 36:21, 37:9 9:15, 20:19 bulk [1] - 12:1 30:3, 30:5, 30:16, coming [1] - 49:25 consulting [1] becomes [2] - 14:3, Bureau [2] - 24:15, 31:17, 33:7, 33:20, comment [3] - 21:13, 37:17 20:15 36:1, 39:16, 51:1 43:4. 43:9 24:16 contacted [1] - 37:8 **befitting** [1] - 10:25 Butte [4] - 3:10, 6:19, CERTIFICATE [1] comments [1] - 44:1 context [2] - 20:14, **BEFORE** [1] - 1:12 6:23, 36:11 Commission [4] -47:15 began [1] - 36:16 **BY** [16] - 1:17, 1:22, Certified [2] - 53:5, 2:8, 2:8, 5:7, 9:21 continue [8] - 12:17, 53:17 Commissioner [1] begin [1] - 11:15 2:1, 2:10, 2:13, 2:16, 13:21, 14:6, 26:16, beginning [3] - 1:13, 2:20, 2:22, 3:1, 3:4, certify [2] - 53:6, 5:23 26:21, 40:2, 51:24, 3:8, 3:10, 3:13, 3:16, 53:9 52:1 28:8, 29:5 compared [1] - 42:7 3:18, 3:21 cessation [1] - 12:20 continued [2] behalf [4] - 4:23, comparison [2] -Chad [1] - 5:16 12:25, 30:8 6:17, 8:17, 31:2 15:15, 15:25 C belabor [1] - 8:20 **CHAD**[1] - 2:10 competent [1] continues [2] beneath [1] - 14:2 chance [2] - 16:6, 27:10 31:11, 31:12 Benter [2] - 53:5, 52:6 competing [1] - 12:9 continuing [1] -C-section [2] - 38:4, change [3] - 14:6, 26:14 53:16 complaining [1] -38:15 Benter-Moine [2] -14:12, 50:23 49:16 coordinate [3] calendar [3] - 21:16, completed [5] - 4:10, 53:5, 53:16 changed [2] - 14:19, 27:5, 33:12, 42:2 22:3, 22:8 16:21, 22:15, 29:22 coordinating [2] benter.moine@ California [2] - 1:20, changing [2] - 9:4, completion [2] -12:5, 33:11 gmail.com [1] - 3:25 2:17 Bernalillo [2] - 3:1, 20:1 16:21, 26:25 coordination [3] cancel [1] - 40:22 12:5, 33:24, 34:3 changing-daily [1] complex [5] - 9:17, canceled [3] - 17:5, 11:15, 19:7, 19:14, 20:1 Coronavirus [1] best [3] - 39:19, 29:10, 39:7 43:16 42:25, 51:9 **charge** [1] - 5:9 20:13 capacity [1] - 41:18 Corrales [1] - 3:17 better [1] - 15:1 **chief** [1] - 47:13 complexion [2] -Capitol [1] - 1:20 between [4] - 14:25, choice [1] - 32:8 14:12, 14:20 **correct** [2] - 5:11, caption [1] - 9:5 32:22, 41:17, 43:18 choices [1] - 30:25 complexity [1] - 42:4 35:10 captions [1] - 11:25 Cholla [1] - 4:25 complicating [1] correctly [1] - 35:20 Bickerstaff [1] - 3:3 care [1] - 19:4 bifurcation [1] - 21:5 chunk [1] - 21:21 36:12 counsel [30] - 5:4, carefully [1] - 8:18 7:4, 9:1, 9:10, 9:22, big [1] - 41:17 **CIRCUIT** [1] - 1:12 compressed [3] -**CAROOM**[3] - 3:4, 10:4, 11:14, 11:22, circumstances [7] -34:18, 34:23, 35:2 billions [1] - 10:17 6:9, 6:14 compromised [1] -12:1, 12:8, 13:24, 10:1, 10:2, 18:24, **bit** [4] - 8:5, 21:14, Caroom [1] - 6:10 14:19, 14:22, 15:8, 21:2, 36:2, 39:19, 10:8 24:1, 24:2 case [45] - 9:5, 9:8, 40:6 20:11, 20:18, 21:3, computers [1] - 19:8 Blair [4] - 22:24, 9:12, 9:17, 10:13, concern [2] - 13:22, 25:22, 25:25, 27:10, **City** [6] - 3:3, 3:7, 23:6, 23:14, 40:11 10:24, 11:16, 12:2, 27:11, 27:15, 34:7, 6:8, 6:10, 6:15, 6:17 49:6 Blair's [2] - 25:7, 12:5, 13:2, 13:9, 36:16, 37:3, 41:14, clarification [2] concerned [7] - 8:2, 13:22, 14:12, 14:15, 41:20, 42:1, 42:18, 25:1, 28:10, 35:6, blamed [1] - 34:25 39:24, 51:17 14:18, 14:20, 15:8, 53:11 clarify [1] - 24:5 43:13, 45:12, 48:2 block [2] - 21:16, 15:17, 17:9, 20:7, counterclaims [3] clear [9] - 12:23, concerns [1] - 38:5 22:9 20:10, 20:13, 20:15, 36:25. 47:15 15:23, 17:18, 39:25, conclude [1] - 44:4 **board** [1] - 44:13 20:17, 27:10, 28:10, County [8] - 3:1, 40:12, 41:2, 48:21, concluded [1] -Box [8] - 1:23, 2:24, 28:19, 28:21, 29:6, 3:12, 3:18, 6:3, 6:24, 51:20, 52:15 52.23 3:2, 3:8, 3:11, 3:14, 31:4, 33:22, 34:2, 7:2, 7:5, 7:8 clearly [1] - 19:24 **conditions** [2] - 14:6, 3:16, 3:22 37:3, 38:24, 40:17, **couple** [5] - 14:11, client [1] - 37:9 19:3 **brand** [1] - 47:9 40:21, 41:12, 41:18, 34:12, 36:15, 43:22, conference [4] **bridge** [1] - 8:6 clients [1] - 37:17 41:23. 42:15. 42:20. 48:23 **close** [1] - 30:16 28:6, 35:25, 44:23, brief [3] - 19:18, 47:13, 48:17, 48:19, **course** [5] - 10:3, 52:23 35:23, 41:10 closely [2] - 9:22, 52:8 14:1, 20:3, 20:17, 33.12 conferencing [1] bring [3] - 10:21, case-related [1] -43:7 28:9 15:6, 27:15 closer [1] - 22:1 20:7 **COURT** [1] - 1:4 **COLEMAN**[1] - 2:22 Congress [1] - 3:19 bringing [3] - 13:23,

Court [8] - 3:24, 4:7, 15:19, 15:22, 21:4, 21:9, 38:7, 42:9 covers [1] - 51:15 COVID-19 [2] -34:25, 48:24 crashes [1] - 19:10 create [1] - 47:9 critical [2] - 41:12, 41.15 Cruces [4] - 3:8, 3:11, 6:15, 6:18 curiae [1] - 6:17 curious [2] - 28:25, 32:8 current [5] - 10:5, 14:1, 18:24, 28:15, 45:10 cut [6] - 11:4, 26:19, 49:8, 49:16, 49:21, 49:23 cut-off [1] - 26:19

D

D.C [1] - 2:24 daily [1] - 20:1 **Dalrymple** [1] - 5:6 DALRYMPLE[2] -2:7, 5:6 **DANIEL** [1] - 3:5 Daniel [1] - 6:11 data [1] - 9:13 date [18] - 9:15, 13:15, 18:19, 19:17, 21:15, 22:2, 22:5, 24:13, 26:19, 29:14, 31:14, 35:3, 35:5, 35:11, 37:15, 40:25, 43:20, 43:25 dates [10] - 23:2, 23:5, 24:11, 35:16, 37:9, 37:13, 37:16, 40:24, 41:1, 41:3 David [3] - 4:23, 8:17, 16:7 **DAVID** [1] - 2:2 **Davidson** [2] - 3:15, 7:14 DAVIDSON [2] -3:16, 7:13 day-to-day [2] - 12:2, days [2] - 23:17, 25:4 DC [1] - 2:21 deadline [3] - 45:12, 51:6, 51:22 deal [1] - 47:21 dealing [1] - 38:5

decades [1] - 9:18 December [2] -46:16, 46:18 decide [1] - 42:24 decided [3] - 20:23, 28:18, 28:20 decision [1] - 11:11 decisions [8] - 9:24, 13:24, 20:16, 20:25, 21:6, 28:17, 28:24, 28:25 declined [1] - 41:2 defer [2] - 14:23, 39:16 definitely [1] - 11:1 **definitive** [1] - 41:3 degree [1] - 24:25 delay [6] - 18:9, 29:2, 30:2, 39:16, 43:7, 45:12 delays [3] - 39:24, 48:14, 48:16 **Delgado** [1] - 3:3 denied [2] - 52:5, 52:10 Denver [2] - 2:11, 2:15 Department [5] -2:10, 2:12, 2:16, 2:18, 2.22 deposed [11] -24:15, 24:18, 32:18, 32:19, 32:20, 32:21, 36:22, 36:24, 37:11, 37:15 deposition [11] -27:6, 29:9, 29:11, 40:13, 40:17, 40:20, 40:22, 44:17, 50:25, 51:4, 51:5 depositions [69] -12:4, 13:6, 16:19, 16:22, 17:12, 18:2, 18:10, 18:11, 18:14, 18:21, 20:2, 22:17, 23:11, 23:17, 23:20, 23:21, 24:8, 24:22, 24:23, 25:3, 25:8, 25:24, 26:12, 26:13, 26:17, 26:20, 27:4, 27:17, 27:20, 28:20, 28:22, 29:6, 29:7, 29:8, 29:13, 30:5, 30:6, 31:3, 31:23, 32:3, 32:6, 32:8, 32:12, 32:14, 32:23, 33:1, 33:2, 33:8, 33:10, 35:12, 35:14, 35:15, 36:1, 36:17,

37:8, 37:13, 37:18,

37:20, 37:25, 38:9, 41:3, 47:24, 48:5, 49:2, 50:18, 51:8, 51:21, 51:24 describing [1] - 42:3 designated 131 -11:22, 11:25, 38:10 determine [1] - 9:23 determined [1] -40:22 developed [1] -10:24 developments [1] difference [1] - 41:17 different [7] - 12:19, 14:15, 30:11, 45:18, 46:8, 50:10 difficult [7] - 10:1, 11:11, 11:13, 14:4, 18:22, 35:14, 44:22 difficulty [3] - 8:6, 11:9, 42:17 digest [2] - 9:12, 11:15 dilatory [1] - 35:10 diligent [3] - 28:11, 32:25, 33:14 diligently [6] - 10:3, 17:23, 30:24, 40:15, 43:1, 47:6 direct [1] - 45:6 direction [1] - 53:7 Director [1] - 5:1 disclose [1] - 17:25 disclosed [14] - 9:14, 17:15, 18:18, 24:13, 24:17, 25:13, 32:10, 32:17, 33:3, 45:25, 46:10, 46:15, 46:18 disclosing [2] -13:18, 25:11 disclosure [3] -17:19, 18:6, 25:6 disclosures [7] -13:1, 13:4, 13:7, 32:23, 40:18, 46:16, 51:25 discovery [25] - 9:15, 12:3, 12:17, 13:3, 13:7, 13:8, 26:13, 26:15, 26:18, 26:21, 26:24, 29:4, 29:19, 30:14, 35:17, 40:1, 40:3, 41:19, 44:7, 44:17, 50:25, 51:17, 51:22, 51:24 discrete [1] - 46:25 discuss [5] - 16:8, 16:11, 20:2, 44:22,

discussion [2] -21:25, 40:10 disingenuous [4] -24:1, 24:2, 25:14, 51:10 **dismiss** [1] - 39:11 **disrupted** [1] - 19:15 **District** [11] - 3:10, 3:13, 3:19, 5:25, 6:20, 6:23, 6:25, 7:3, 7:6, 7:9, 36:12 district [1] - 40:11 **Division** [3] - 2:23, 5:1, 5:10 doctors [1] - 38:14 document [3] -12:17, 41:19, 51:25 documents [36] -9:16, 9:17, 10:14, 10:15, 13:10, 13:12, 13:14, 13:17, 13:21, 17:9, 17:13, 17:15, 17:17, 17:19, 17:24, 18:3, 22:14, 22:16, 23:1, 23:4, 23:8, 23:10, 23:13, 23:14, 23:19, 24:4, 25:5, 25:7, 25:13, 27:1, 27:2, 33:3, 33:5, 33:10, 40:16 done [14] - 27:9, 30:8, 30:19, 31:13, 31:22, 32:3, 34:4, 34:23, 38:1, 43:10, 47:7, 47:11, 47:23, 47:24 **Doug** [1] - 6:10 **DOUGLAS**[1] - 3:4 down [1] - 47:22 downs [1] - 10:18 Dr [7] - 22:24, 23:6, 23:9, 25:7, 29:10, 40:11, 40:13 draconian [1] - 27:12 **Drew** [1] - 7:8 **Drive** [1] - 2:8 driving [1] - 25:14 **DUBOIS** [10] - 2:13, 4:16, 7:23, 22:18, 22:23, 24:10, 25:18, 51:16, 52:2, 52:12 **Dubois** [6] - 4:17, 22:23, 39:25, 40:10, 51:17, 52:13 due [11] - 15:9, 19:20, 29:15, 30:3, 30:25, 32:13, 38:3,

45:13

28:8

discussed [2] - 20:8,

40:25, 46:13, 50:2, 50:8 **Dunn** [1] - 1:17 during [4] - 28:5, 29:12, 38:11, 45:4

Ε

early [5] - 28:20, 33:2, 38:19, 38:23, 43:11 eat [1] - 33:7 **EBID** [1] - 36:23 **EBID's** [2] - 36:20 **effect** [2] - 9:24, 34:7 effectively [2] -26:12, 37:21 efficient [1] - 19:7 effort [1] - 26:2 eiaht 131 - 38:2. 38:21, 43:23 eight-week [1] - 38:2 either [3] - 27:12, 32:17, 35:14 **EI** [6] - 3:4, 3:12, 6:8, 6:10, 6:24, 7:2 electronic [1] - 13:3 Elephant [4] - 3:10, 6:19, 6:23, 36:11 eluded [1] - 27:20 email [10] - 8:7, 8:10, 8:18, 14:8, 20:8, 21:14, 26:15, 28:4, 37:24, 39:6 emergency [3] -8:11, 39:9, 39:21 emphasize [1] -42:21 employed [2] -53:10, 53:11 employee [2] -24:17, 53:11 employees [2] -36:23, 38:10 enable [1] - 21:2 encouraged [1] -43:4 end [19] - 15:18, 16:10, 19:24, 22:9, 23:7, 23:15, 26:17. 32:11, 35:3, 35:25, 37:1, 44:8, 44:10, 44:19, 45:9, 45:14, 45:25, 49:22, 51:13 ended [1] - 26:19 ends [1] - 14:13 engage [1] - 10:22 engaged [3] - 9:8, 25:22, 27:10

Engineer [3] - 2:5, 24:14, 24:18, 27:22, 47:11 Francis [1] - 5:20 Н 5:5. 9:20 29:15, 31:10, 32:6, fast [1] - 42:10 frankly [6] - 23:24, engineer [2] - 5:22, 32:11, 32:12, 41:1, Fe [4] - 2:6, 3:2, 3:9, 25:10, 30:15, 43:13, hampered [1] - 10:5 40:11 45:12, 46:4, 46:17, 3:22 50:10. 51:2 hampers [1] - 48:18 Engineers [1] fear [1] - 23:3 free [1] - 29:1 hand [1] - 53:14 27:22 experts [50] - 16:20, federal [1] - 13:1 frequent [1] - 19:10 handicapped [1] -17:13. 17:16. 17:20. enhances [1] - 48:17 fellow [1] - 8:3 frustration [3] - 31:5, 10:10 18:3. 18:4. 18:5. 18:7. entities [1] - 34:3 felt [2] - 18:2, 43:5 31:15, 48:20 hands [1] - 50:24 18:17, 18:24, 19:20, Environment [1] few [4] - 14:15, 20:9, full [4] - 15:16, 21:21, 23:4, 23:13, happy [2] - 8:21, 2.23 25:13, 32:12 15:21, 16:18, 52:7 23:22, 23:23, 23:25, 31:19 Environmental [2] fully [7] - 9:7, 10:24, fewer [1] - 8:4 harder [1] - 23:5 24:7, 24:9, 24:12, 5:1. 5:9 29:15, 29:18, 34:1, file [7] - 18:12, 18:19, 24:15, 24:17, 25:6, Harris [1] - 3:12 42:22, 50:13 **EP** [6] - 7:4, 39:3, 45:7. 45:10. 50:15. 26:24, 32:4, 32:6, hate [1] - 20:25 39:6, 40:8, 40:12, 51:7. 51:9 32:9, 32:16, 32:19, health [2] - 38:4, filed [3] - 8:11, G 32:21, 36:19, 36:23, 38:16 **ESI** [5] - 13:3, 25:11, 46:14, 47:16 37:11, 37:14, 38:10, hear [7] - 15:23, 26:22, 26:25, 29:22 filing [2] - 18:23, Galisteo [1] - 2:5 40:9, 40:19, 42:14, 16:14, 21:11, 22:13, **especially** [4] - 9:19, 43:19 gathering [1] - 23:9 45:16, 45:17, 45:18, 29:23, 31:15, 49:22 32:3, 33:21, 34:9 fill [1] - 12:9 General [2] - 5:2, 45:24, 46:1, 46:4, heard [5] - 8:13, **ESQ** [18] - 1:17, 1:18, final [2] - 19:23, 40:7 46:10, 46:11, 46:15, 31:10, 36:9, 39:1, 1:18, 1:19, 2:1, 2:2, **finality** [1] - 20:23 46:23, 46:24 general [2] - 5:4, 41.8 2:10, 2:13, 2:13, 3:1, finally [1] - 34:20 41:11 **explain** [1] - 50:14 hearing [16] - 16:10, 3:4, 3:5, 3:8, 3:10, financially [1] -General's [3] - 1:22, **Expressway** [1] - 3:5 19:24, 20:6, 20:16, 3:13, 3:16, 3:18, 3:21 53:11 5:10, 5:21 extended [2] - 26:16, 20:24, 30:12, 31:4, **essence**[1] - 46:5 fine [1] - 35:4 generally [2] - 39:15, 34:1 31:21. 34:16. 39:8. essentially [4] -Finish [1] - 11:5 45:22 **extending** [1] - 51:22 44:18. 44:19. 45:9. 26:11, 26:14, 26:16, finish [3] - 4:5, Georgia [2] - 15:19, extension [6] -48:19, 51:12, 52:10 27:8 26:23, 27:4 42:12 Heath [1] - 3:3 19:18, 25:2, 27:7, evaluate [2] - 14:17, finishing [2] - 19:13, 29:4, 30:13, 30:14 given [3] - 25:7, held [1] - 32:18 19:25 27:16 39:19, 48:6 extensions [3] -**Hello** [1] - 4:5 evaluating [1] firm [3] - 15:5, 15:10, glad [1] - 8:8 39:15, 39:23, 40:4 **help** [1] - 41:19 39:17 42:4 goal [3] - 21:15, extent [1] - 12:11 Herculean [2] - 26:2, event [1] - 30:1 Firm [2] - 3:10, 3:15 44:8, 44:15 extra [3] - 47:5, 42:14 ever-evolving [1] first [8] - 11:20, God [1] - 23:3 48:13, 49:9 hereby [1] - 53:5 20.1 22:13, 23:1, 28:2, God's [1] - 30:4 hereto [1] - 53:11 evidence [2] - 16:1, 28:9, 32:21, 35:24, F GOLDSBERRY [1] -Hicks [1] - 7:4 21:20 45:1 1:18 hiring [1] - 14:22 evolving [4] - 13:9, fiscal [1] - 43:14 Goldsberry [1] - 5:20 historical [1] - 21:21 14:3, 20:1, 21:25 Florida [2] - 15:18, face [1] - 15:24 government [1] exactly [2] - 31:6, hit [1] - 29:18 42.12 facing [4] - 10:2, 43:10 38:13 **HOFFMAN**[1] - 1:18 folks [4] - 24:16, 15:15, 18:25, 35:18 Grande [1] - 5:23 holding [1] - 20:15 **exception** [1] - 34:14 25:25, 30:9 fact [12] - 15:21, great [2] - 20:21, excuse [1] - 22:20 home [5] - 10:16, **follow** [1] - 43:25 25:7, 29:2, 32:19, 47:21 existential [1] - 9:7 19:2, 19:5, 19:8, 49:4 following [1] - 18:13 32:24, 33:1, 34:25, greatly [4] - 10:5, honest [1] - 23:10 existing [3] - 9:6, foregoing [1] - 53:8 35:10, 35:13, 38:3, 10:9, 14:12, 39:5 Honor [56] - 4:16, 9:22, 46:23 form [1] - 36:6 40:21, 51:7 Greg [1] - 5:4 4:22, 5:11, 5:15, 5:18, **expect** [1] - 50:14 format [1] - 44:23 factor [2] - 36:12, GREG [1] - 2:4 6:2, 6:9, 6:16, 6:22, expected [2] - 18:14, forms [1] - 36:5 38:8 ground [2] - 14:2, 7:2, 7:8, 7:13, 7:18, 30:25 forth [1] - 19:12 facts [1] - 33:15 27:14 7:23, 8:16, 11:3, 11:8, expecting [3] forward [15] - 9:11, factual [1] - 20:12 **group** [1] - 13:13 29:15, 29:18, 48:9 11:24, 12:22, 14:8, 13:23, 15:18, 16:23, fairly [2] - 22:2, 22:8 Growers [3] - 3:16, 14:13, 14:24, 15:4, experience [1] -17:11, 18:1, 21:5, faith [1] - 17:21 7:12, 7:14 15:7. 15:15. 16:7. 42:18 26:18, 28:11, 30:24, **familiar** [5] **-** 9:15, guess [3] - 8:12, 19:23, 22:18, 23:10, experienced [2] -31:14, 39:19, 41:5, 20:20, 20:21, 40:8, 8:22, 48:22 24:10, 25:18, 28:2, 31:6, 41:21 42:6, 46:11 42:15 guidance [3] - 39:5, 32:1, 33:2, 34:14, expert [26] - 9:12. four [1] - 46:17 far [6] - 17:25, 20:24, 39:12, 39:13 34:16, 34:20, 35:5, 16:9. 16:17. 17:8. frame [4] - 13:17, 23:10, 33:1, 45:11, gun [2] - 30:21, 35:8, 35:10, 35:17, 17:10, 17:16, 17:18, 27:24, 34:23, 43:5 52:4 47:18 35:24, 36:10, 36:15, 18:15, 18:23, 19:19, frames [1] - 49:24 fashion [2] - 28:11, 39:2, 39:4, 41:6, 41:9, 21:23, 22:15, 24:13, **FRANCIS** [1] - 1:18

43:2, 45:19, 45:24, 27:21 52:12 20:12 lock [1] - 10:18 46:9, 47:2, 51:16, includes [2] - 9:16, **job** [2] - 16:2, 42:3 large [2] - 12:10, lock-downs [1] -52:12, 52:16 26:22 **JOHN** [1] - 3:21 12:18 10:18 Honor's [2] - 16:11, including [1] - 19:3 John [1] - 7:18 **Las** [4] - 3:8, 3:11, logistical [2] - 18:25, 39:12 incorrect [1] - 33:22 JR [1] - 2:1 6:15. 6:18 19:22 HONORABLE [1] indicated [8] - 13:11, JUDGE [49] - 1:12, last [9] - 6:12, 11:7, look [5] - 23:19, 13:16, 14:9, 18:17, 4:3, 4:20, 5:8, 5:13, 17:3, 17:15, 35:17, 44:25, 47:19, 50:5, 23:18, 35:25, 37:10, 38:1, 42:19, 43:7, 50.11 hope [3] - 11:8, 44:3, 5:17, 5:24, 6:5, 6:8, 44:16 6:12, 6:15, 6:19, 6:24, 43:9 **Look** [1] - 31:13 hopefully [3] - 4:12, individual [1] - 42:13 7:5, 7:10, 7:15, 7:20, late [3] - 19:17, 27:8, looking [1] - 14:14 44:12, 50:20 43:11 indulgence [1] -8:1, 11:2, 11:5, 11:19, hoping [3] - 38:18, 16:12 12:13, 14:21, 16:4, latest [1] - 29:1 М 38:24, 50:22 inflicted [1] - 31:24 16:14, 16:25, 21:12, **Law** [3] - 2:10, 3:10, hornburger [2] information [3] -22:20, 24:5, 25:15, 3:15 MACFARLANE[1] -29:10 13:5, 19:17, 46:22 27:25, 31:20, 34:13, lead [13] - 9:1, 9:10, 2:16 35:21, 36:8, 36:14, **HUBENAK** [1] - 1:22 informed [1] - 37:6 10:4, 11:14, 11:22, magnitude [2] -38:9, 38:25, 41:7, Hubenak [1] - 5:21 initial [7] - 8:19, 11:25, 12:8, 13:23, 41:13, 41:21 43:3, 45:21, 46:2, Hudspeth [3] - 3:18, 28:22, 39:4, 45:17, 15:7, 20:10, 20:18, main [1] - 21:15 48:22, 49:15, 50:17, 21:2, 34:7 7:5, 7:8 46:3, 46:6, 47:25 major [1] - 20:16 51:11, 51:19, 52:3, hydrological [1] learn [1] - 20:11 input [1] - 39:17 Mall [1] - 1:20 52:17 least [5] - 18:16, 21:22 intends [1] - 37:18 man [1] - 26:2 Judge [1] - 4:4 19:18, 21:7, 25:1, hydrology [1] - 24:19 intent [1] - 14:9 management [2] judges [1] - 8:3 48:10 interested [1] - 53:12 13:2, 44:9 **JUDITH** [1] - 2:22 I leave [3] - 37:5, Interior [1] - 2:19 manner [7] - 9:8, July [3] - 38:12, 37:22, 38:3 Interstate [4] - 2:8, 10:22, 10:25, 19:7, 38:23, 51:3 leaving [5] - 29:25, 5:7. 9:21 idea [1] - 37:17 19:21, 47:7, 47:8 June [10] - 20:6, 30:19, 30:22, 37:4, Intervenor [1] - 4:8 identification [1] -March [6] - 4:2, 21:8, 35:5, 37:6, 37:22 intimately [2] -29:13, 39:7, 40:14, 37:23, 38:12, 38:18, led [1] - 33:16 25:23, 40:8 identified [1] - 10:9 45:7, 53:6 38:20, 38:22, 51:3 LEE [1] - 2:13 involved [5] - 12:10, identify [3] - 8:15, MARCH[1] - 1:11 Justice [3] - 2:12, legal [1] - 20:12 25:23, 25:25, 36:6, 10:4, 15:4 Marcus [3] - 4:23, 2:16, 2:22 LEININGER [1] -42:6 II [1] - 1:18 15:3, 34:11 2:13 lowa [2] - 53:5, 53:6 imagination [1] -MARCUS[1] - 2:1 K **Leininger** [1] - 4:18 **IOWA** [1] - 1:13 43:16 Maria [2] - 7:2, 39:3 length [1] - 36:4 Irrigation [4] - 3:10, **immediate** [1] - 18:6 MARIA [1] - 3:13 letter [1] - 39:21 6:19, 6:23, 36:12 K-H-O-U-R-Y [1] immediately [1] -Marquette [1] - 2:2 light [3] - 36:2, 42:6, issue [11] - 25:10, 5:12 32:9 MASTER [1] - 1:12 25:19, 28:15, 30:11, 43:10 Kansas [1] - 7:11 importance [1] -Master [2] - 29:21, lightly [3] - 10:19, 30:17. 31:9. 37:1. keep [1] - 40:5 41:13 39:16 11:10, 48:15 39:6, 39:12, 40:9, keeping [1] - 30:20 **important** [6] - 17:6, maternity [3] - 37:5, Likewise [1] - 42:16 Kemp [1] - 3:18 17:7, 18:2, 21:6, 37:22, 38:2 limited [1] - 47:18 issued [3] - 28:22, Kery [1] - 3:21 28:19, 41:25 matter [13] - 4:5, 4:6, limiting [1] - 38:8 28:24, 29:16 key [1] - 17:8 importantly [1] -15:16, 15:21, 26:4, issues [11] - 16:8, line [1] - 7:4 Khoury [2] - 4:25, 9:22 32:24. 33:14. 33:15. 16:13, 19:9, 20:7, Lisa [1] - 4:25 5:8 impossible [1] -35:1, 35:11, 35:13, 20:20, 21:9, 33:13, list [1] - 4:14 **kids** [1] - 19:5 10:14 39:4, 42:5 44:22, 47:9, 47:11, listed [2] - 15:7, kind [1] - 27:23 Improvement [3] matters [1] - 16:5 47:14 36:22 King [1] - 23:14 3:13, 6:25, 7:3 May/June [3] - 43:5, issuing [2] - 12:3, litigate [2] - 29:5, **IN** [1] - 53:14 king's [1] - 23:9 43:25, 44:11 46:1 48:17 in-person [4] - 10:6, Klahn [1] - 5:20 McFarlane [1] - 4:19 litigating [1] - 31:1 KLAHN [1] - 1:19 28:13, 44:21, 45:3 mean [4] - 30:3, J litigation [7] - 13:20, known [1] - 51:12 inability [1] - 19:20 46:2, 50:19, 51:2 14:1, 15:9, 20:3, knows [1] - 50:23 inappropriate [1] means [2] - 46:20, 28:19, 31:11, 42:17 JAMES [2] - 2:13, 3:1 47:16 **Litigation** [1] - 5:1 inclination [2] - 8:23, January [1] - 29:23 L meant [1] - 45:15 live [3] - 50:8, 50:9 12:23 **JAY**[1] - 3:8 meantime [2] -LLC [2] - 3:10, 3:15 inclinations [1] -Jay [1] - 6:17 44:16, 45:6 laid [2] - 27:24, 28:4 **LLP** [2] - 3:4, 3:18 8:19 Jim [6] - 4:16, 6:2, mechanics [2] local [1] - 34:7 landscape [1] include [2] - 16:18, 22:23, 41:9, 51:16, 21:18, 49:3 locally [1] - 34:4

mediation [1] - 21:6 meet [2] - 9:18, 49:24 meeting [1] - 12:7 meetings [2] - 10:6, 19.12 Melloy [1] - 4:4 MELLOY [49] - 1:12, 4:3, 4:20, 5:8, 5:13, 5:17, 5:24, 6:5, 6:8, 6:12, 6:15, 6:19, 6:24, 7:5, 7:10, 7:15, 7:20, 8:1, 11:2, 11:5, 11:19, 12:13, 14:21, 16:4, 16:14, 16:25, 21:12, 22:20, 24:5, 25:15, 27:25, 31:20, 34:13, 35:21, 36:8, 36:14, 38:9, 38:25, 41:7, 43:3, 45:21, 46:2, 48:22, 49:15, 50:17, 51:11, 51:19, 52:3, 52.17 memo [1] - 52:18 mention [1] - 51:20 mentioned [1] -40:11 merely [2] - 23:22, 24:20 met [1] - 28:13 Mexico [69] - 2:1, 2:3, 2:5, 2:6, 2:7, 3:2, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 3:21, 3:22, 4:7, 4:21, 4:24, 5:4, 5:5, 5:7, 6:18, 7:11, 7:15, 7:18, 8:11, 8:13, 8:14, 8:18, 9:10, 9:19, 16:1, 16:19, 20:22, 26:3, 26:8, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 29:9, 29:23, 33:25, 34:17, 34:21, 35:1, 35:2, 35:9, 35:19, 36:17, 37:2, 37:6, 37:13, 37:18, 37:20, 37:24, 40:16, 40:21, 41:13, 41:25, 42:24, 44:12, 45:20, 47:5, 47:12, 47:21, 47:23, 48:15, 49:9, 49:25, 50:14, 52:9 **MEXICO** [1] - 1:8 Mexico's [12] -25:16, 28:14, 31:2, 36:25, 39:9, 39:21, 40:25, 41:11, 42:22, 45:11, 48:17, 51:23 MICHAEL [1] - 1:12 middle [2] - 27:14, 37:6

might [6] - 10:8, 39:13, 40:4, 41:18, 46:25, 48:2 Miller [1] - 7:8 MILLER [2] - 3:18, minimal [1] - 15:25 **minimum** [1] - 10:20 minute [1] - 28:16 missed [2] - 7:20, 52:11 model [1] - 19:11 modeling [3] - 18:17, 19:6. 24:18 models [2] - 19:7, 49:5 Modrall [1] - 3:12 Moine [3] - 3:24, 53:5, 53:16 month [19] - 8:23, 10:18, 23:2, 23:15, 26:7, 26:11, 26:22, 27:7, 27:18, 29:4, 29:12, 30:12, 30:13, 32:16, 48:10, 50:13, 52:5, 52:7 months [12] - 12:21, 22:4, 31:9, 36:16, 42:20, 43:21, 43:22, 43:23, 44:3, 48:7, 51:8 MoPac [1] - 3:5 morning [7] - 4:3, 4:22, 6:16, 6:21, 7:1, 7:7, 7:17 most [3] - 11:11, 19:5, 29:7 motion [7] - 8:11, 8:13, 8:21, 25:17, 27:19, 39:9, 39:21 motions [4] - 14:10, 29:21, 39:11, 43:20 move [5] - 13:23, 22:21, 31:14, 35:3, moved [2] - 30:24, moving [3] - 28:10, 40.5 42.5 MR [44] - 4:16, 4:22, 5:3, 5:11, 5:15, 5:18, 6:2, 6:7, 6:9, 6:14, 6:16, 7:7, 7:17, 7:23, 8:16, 11:3, 11:7, 11:24, 12:22, 14:23, 15:3, 16:7, 16:16, 17:2, 22:18, 22:23,

24:10, 25:18, 28:2,

32:1, 34:11, 34:14,

35:23, 41:9, 45:19,

45:23, 46:8, 47:2, 49:14, 49:20, 51:4, 51:16, 52:2, 52:12 MS [8] - 5:6, 6:21, 7:1, 7:13, 36:10, 36:15, 38:13, 39:2 Ν

name [2] - 6:13, 9:4 Natural [1] - 2:23 **NE** [1] - 2:8 nearly [1] - 46:24 necessary [4] - 9:9, 10:21, 39:23, 48:4 necessitate [1] -11:18 need [17] - 9:10, 16:20, 24:4, 31:14, 36:24, 37:24, 39:10, 40:4, 45:7, 45:10, 49:5, 49:11, 49:13, 49:17, 51:8, 51:14, needed [4] - 9:23, 18:11, 23:12, 31:8 never [7] - 23:23, 24:8, 24:12, 24:19, 34:17, 35:1, 49:22 **NEW** [1] - 1:8 New [77] - 2:1, 2:3, 2:5, 2:6, 2:7, 3:2, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 3:21, 3:22, 4:7, 4:21, 4:24, 5:3, 5:4, 5:7, 6:18, 7:11, 7:15, 7:18, 8:11, 8:13, 8:14, 8:17, 9:9, 9:19, 16:1, 20:22, 25:16, 26:8, 27:9, 27:12, 27:19, 28:14, 29:9, 29:23, 31:1, 33:25, 34:17, 34:21, 35:1, 35:2, 35:9, 35:19, 36:16, 36:25, 37:2, 37:6, 37:12, 37:18, 37:20, 37:24, 39:8, 39:21, 40:25, 41:11, 41:13, 41:25, 42:22, 42:24, 44:12, 45:11, 45:20, 47:5, 47:12, 47:21, 47:23, 48:15, 48:17, 49:9, 49:25, 50:14, 51:23, 52:9 new [20] - 8:25, 9:10,

10:4, 11:14, 12:8,

12:15, 13:23, 14:18,

14:22, 20:10, 20:14,

21:2, 27:15, 43:14,

46:1, 46:11, 46:15, -Shannon N. Benter-Moine, CSR-

46:22, 46:24, 47:9 next [8] - 9:23, 14:11, 20:9, 21:25, 29:17, 30:3, 40:24, 48:9 **night** [1] - 17:15 nine [2] - 30:12, 33:9 nine-month [1] -30:12 **NM** [1] - 2:9 nobody [2] - 48:24, 50:23 non [16] - 17:7, 17:10, 17:17, 17:20, 18:4, 23:22, 24:7, 24:12, 25:5, 25:10, 36:19, 37:1, 37:11, 37:14, 40:9, 46:17 non-issue [2] -25:10, 37:1 non-retained [14] -17:7, 17:10, 17:17, 17:20, 18:4, 23:22, 24:7, 24:12, 25:5, 36:19, 37:11, 37:14, 40:9, 46:17 **none** [1] - 49:25 normal [6] - 10:7, 19:3, 19:12, 20:3, 26:18, 52:1 normally [2] - 10:12, 19:21 **note** [2] - 40:7, 52:13 nothing [3] - 47:13, 47:16, 52:21 **notice** [1] - 45:5 noticed [2] - 27:21, 40.14 noticing [1] - 23:25 **notion** [1] - 30:18 novel [1] - 10:1 nuances [2] - 11:16, 20:21 number [7] - 12:4, 13:13, 32:3, 33:13, 46:11, 46:15, 46:24 numbers [1] - 8:4 NW [2] - 2:2, 2:20

0

O'BRIEN [3] - 3:13, 7:1. 39:2 **O'Brien** [3] - 7:2, 39:3. 51:18 **O-R-T-I-Z** [1] - 6:14 objecting [2] - 47:4, 48:13 objection [2] - 30:10, 36:3 obligations [1] - 26:8 obvious [1] - 16:24 obviously [4] - 9:4, 14:11, 16:17, 23:20 occurred [2] - 38:11, 51.6 October [3] - 32:11, 32:17, 45:25 **OF** [5] - 1:4, 1:6, 1:8, 1:9, 1:11 offered [2] - 23:1, 40:24 Office [14] - 1:22, 2:5, 2:19, 3:2, 3:8, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 3:22, 5:2, 5:10, 5:21, 7:24, 9:20 Official [1] - 3:24 once [2] - 10:8, 28:12 One [1] - 3:6 one [26] - 12:1, 14:12, 16:8, 16:9, 17:2, 17:16, 18:16, 19:8, 21:21, 22:20, 24:11, 25:5, 25:9, 26:2, 26:5, 29:8, 31:11, 32:18, 33:17, 36:20, 37:10, 37:12, 39:24, 48:16, 51:4, 51:5 one-man [1] - 26:2 ones [6] - 17:6, 17:7, 27:17, 27:19, 28:17, 28:20 ongoing [4] - 13:3, 13:8, 13:20, 26:8 onward [1] - 28:9 open [1] - 32:18 operations [2] -10:7, 12:2 opinion [2] - 17:16, 36.6 opinions [5] - 17:11, 17:14, 18:15, 18:18, 18:22 opportunity [2] -11:15, 20:19 order [7] - 4:13, 9:7, 14:16. 43:8. 43:9. 48:10, 49:24 original [3] - 10:25, 42:5. 43:21 Original [2] - 1:1, 4:7

originally [1] - 24:13

Ortiz [1] - 6:11

ORTIZ [1] - 3:5

otherwise [2] -

12:11, 46:25

48:15 ought [1] - 30:8 person [6] - 10:6, propounded [1] reaching [1] - 36:16 ourselves [1] - 28:5 15:12, 24:19, 28:13, prepare [2] - 46:5, read [2] - 8:18, 26:14 44:21, 45:3 prosecute [1] - 48:19 ready [9] - 29:25, out-of-state [1] personnel [1] - 9:20 provide [1] - 40:16 31:7, 34:18, 35:1, 34.9 prepared [2] - 29:5, phase [1] - 21:22 29:20 provided [2] - 47:6, 37:25, 49:11, 49:14, outset [1] - 49:10 outside [1] - 47:9 phone [4] - 5:19, preparing [1] - 12:4 48.8 49:18, 50:15 outstanding [1] -22:21, 28:13, 44:23 present [1] - 24:24 provides [3] - 30:22, real [1] - 38:6 place [2] - 32:21, presents [1] - 24:22 47:20, 47:21 realistic [6] - 8:24, 34:21 pretrial [1] - 43:19 pull [2] - 47:18, 12:21, 43:6, 44:15, overburdened[1] -34:10 placing [1] - 11:9 pretty [4] - 19:1, 48:10 44:25, 52:6 plaintiff's [3] - 28:23, 25:8, 33:12, 51:15 pushing [2] - 27:7, reality [2] - 35:18, overstated [1] -42:10 50:20 21:14 46:4. 46:6 previous [2] - 17:3, really [6] - 20:13, **plan** [2] - 13:2, 38:19 17:4 put [13] - 15:17, overstates [1] plane [1] - 30:10 previously [2] - 23:6, 21:20, 21:22, 22:3, 26:4, 26:23, 29:24, 41:24 planned [2] - 38:4, 44:17 22:5, 23:3, 28:18, 31:22, 35:14 **owe** [1] - 22:13 34:20, 35:7, 37:20, reason [7] - 8:7, own [2] - 28:17, 38:14 **printing** [1] - 53:7 46:10, 48:3, 52:17 14:18, 35:12, 37:2, 30:22 **PRISCILLA** [1] - 1:22 **planning** [1] - 17:5 putting [2] - 11:13, 38:15, 44:25, 47:25 Priscilla [1] - 5:20 **plans** [2] - 22:6, 22:7 reasonable [1] -Ρ 45:1 played [3] - 9:18, problem [8] - 18:11, 39:22 33:20, 41:16 24:24, 27:3, 28:15, Q reasons [2] - 16:24, players [1] - 17:8 31:24, 37:19, 49:1, **P.A** [4] - 3:1, 3:7, 50:18 40:23 pleadings [1] - 9:11 3:13, 3:21 point [25] - 10:14, problematic [1] rebuttal [24] - 16:9, quarantined [1] -**P.C** [2] - 1:17, 2:1 16:17, 16:22, 18:13, 10:18, 13:15, 19:23, 23:9 p.m [1] - 52:23 18:17, 19:6, 19:19, 21:1, 21:24, 22:2, problems [4] - 19:1, questions [6] - 8:21, **P.O** [2] - 1:23, 2:24 22:15, 23:12, 24:24, 22:11, 25:21, 31:12, 19:22, 30:21, 30:23 21:10, 21:13, 31:18, pages [2] - 9:17, 28:23, 32:13, 41:1, 31:23, 32:7, 32:10, procedures [1] -33:6. 52:11 13:10 33:17, 35:8, 37:4, 10:12 45:11, 45:16, 45:23, quick [1] - 34:21 pales [1] - 15:15 38:22, 40:10, 41:6, proceed [6] - 8:15, 46:1, 46:7, 46:12, part [3] - 17:22, 18:8, quickly [2] - 44:4, 46:21, 47:22, 49:2, 44:9. 48:5. 50:5. 20:24, 26:18, 27:16, 47.23 41:16 51:23 50:13, 50:25, 52:10 29:21, 30:16 quite [7] - 8:5, 30:11, particular [1] - 37:9 rebuttals [2] - 25:2, points [3] - 8:12, proceedings [4] -30:14, 43:12, 50:9, particularly [4] -46:9 8:20, 42:21 12:21, 14:16, 52:7, 50:10, 51:2 23:8, 25:1, 25:2, **rebutted** [1] - 46:5 **portrayed** [1] - 34:15 53:8 36:18 receive [1] - 39:13 position [17] - 11:14, PROCEEDINGS [1] -R parties [15] - 9:14, received [3] - 17:13, 14:4, 15:1, 18:1, 12:7, 16:6, 21:4, 37:16, 40:10 18:22, 19:15, 21:3, process [6] - 10:4, 21:11, 22:21, 25:10, recently [1] - 13:9 21:8, 22:4, 25:21, 15:4, 26:9, 26:15, Rael [10] - 2:1, 4:23, 34:22, 39:18, 44:24, Reclamation [4] -35:20, 36:5, 38:6, 26:21, 32:5 11:21, 12:10, 14:24, 45:7, 45:15, 45:22, 3:19, 7:6, 7:9, 24:16 41:11, 42:22, 48:21, processing [1] -15:3, 31:7, 34:11, 53:10, 53:11 51:12 recollection [2] -19:10 43:5, 44:14 party [1] - 15:24 possibility [1] -49:10, 49:17 produced [2] -**RAEL** [6] - 2:1, 4:22, Paso [6] - 3:4, 3:12, 41:25 13:10, 40:19 record [7] - 15:16, 5:11, 15:3, 34:11, 6:8, 6:10, 6:24, 7:2 producing [1] -15:21, 35:7, 47:4, possible [4] - 32:25, 34:14 past [1] - 42:5 48:12, 48:21, 53:8 34:6, 34:8, 38:2 13:12 raised [4] - 8:20, path [1] - 39:19 possibly [2] - 38:23, recover[1] - 38:21 production [3] -20:8, 39:7, 47:12 Pecan [3] - 3:15, 50:15 redo [1] - 43:24 23:7, 41:19, 52:1 raising [1] - 47:14 7:11, 7:14 Post [6] - 3:2, 3:8, reduced [1] - 53:7 professionally [1] -Raley [2] - 25:23, pending [4] - 14:10, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 3:22 referenced [1] -11:12 33:19 27:2, 39:11, 44:18 postponing [2] -36.18 proper [1] - 35:7 Randel [1] - 7:24 people [11] - 10:8, 36:1, 36:3 properly [2] - 15:13, references [1] - 9:14 **RANDEL**[1] - 2:20 10:15, 12:19, 22:3, potential [1] - 39:22 15:17 reflected [1] - 9:2 **Rapids** [1] - 53:6 28:18, 31:1, 31:3, potentially [2] proposal [2] - 27:3, refrain [1] - 31:10 **RAPIDS**[1] - 1:13 33:9, 41:17, 42:17, 36:24, 38:7 regard [3] - 18:23, rather [2] - 18:5, 48:8 49:3 prefer [2] - 16:12, 39:22, 40:18 proposed [7] re [2] - 14:17, 50:19 percent [2] - 12:16, 44:21 16:10, 25:4, 27:11, regarding [2] re-evaluate [1] -22:10 pregnant [1] - 37:3 27:12, 27:13, 30:15, 16:16, 39:14 14:17 perfectly [1] - 35:4 prejudice [4] - 15:14, 35:4 regardless [2] - 20:4, re-start [1] - 50:19 period [2] - 25:9, 15:24, 20:22, 48:14 proposing [1] -29:20 reached [1] - 37:2 32:22 34:19 prejudices [1] regards [1] - 39:9

related [7] - 16:9, 17:10, 17:15, 20:7, 36:24, 53:9 relative [1] - 53:11 relatively [1] - 34:24 relied [1] - 18:4 relying [1] - 17:14 remain [1] - 27:17 Renee [1] - 7:4 replace [1] - 41:15 report [14] - 24:14, 29:16, 45:7, 45:9, 45:11. 45:13. 46:3. 46:5. 46:6. 46:7. 46:20, 51:7, 51:9, 51:23 reported [1] - 53:6 Reporter [3] - 3:24, 53:5, 53:17 reports [50] - 9:12, 16:9, 16:17, 16:19, 16:22, 18:13, 18:20, 18:23, 19:6, 19:14, 19:16, 19:19, 22:15, 23:12, 23:25, 24:24, 28:22, 28:23, 29:15, 29:16, 30:2, 30:8, 31:10, 32:6, 32:11, 32:13, 41:1, 45:17, 45:23, 46:1, 46:12, 46:13, 46:17, 47:6, 47:7, 47:10, 47:18, 47:22, 47:23, 47:25, 48:4, 48:7, 48:9, 48:11, 49:3, 50:2, 50:7, 50:16 represent [3] - 9:9, 14:5, 15:13 represented [1] -10:23 representing [1] -35.19 request [4] - 19:18, 39:7, 52:4, 52:10 requested [4] - 8:24, 17:18, 24:20, 29:9 requesting [1] -16:18 requests [4] - 13:5, 27:1, 29:2, 37:16 require [1] - 44:6 required [3] - 13:1, 13:7, 18:10 requirement [1] requiring [1] - 38:4 reschedule [1] -22:25 resolved [1] - 18:12 **Resources** [1] - 2:23

respect [6] - 13:2, 22:19, 28:7, 32:4, 33:21, 48:3 respectfully [2] -20:4 21:7 respond [4] - 12:12, 16:6, 19:16, 22:13 responded [2] -11:21, 46:23 responding [3] -12:3, 46:13, 46:14 response [13] - 9:3, 11:23, 18:14, 22:17, 31:25, 32:1, 35:8, 39:8, 39:20, 46:21, 48:22, 49:8 responses [3] - 14:2, 40:2, 40:3 responsibly [1] - 9:9 rest [2] - 25:16, 29.13 restart [1] - 19:11 restrictions [2] -10:6, 10:15 result [2] - 28:16, 38:4 resulting [1] - 19:11 resume [1] - 20:3 retained [20] - 17:7, 17:10, 17:17, 17:20, 18:4, 18:5, 18:7, 23:22, 24:7, 24:9, 24:12. 24:13. 24:18. 25:5, 27:22, 36:19, 37:11, 37:14, 40:9, 46:17 review [1] - 9:11 reviewing [1] - 10:13 revisited [1] - 21:1 **Ridgley** [1] - 5:4 **RIDGLEY** [2] - 2:4, **Rio** [1] - 5:23 ROBERT [1] - 1:18 Robles [1] - 2:1 Roehl [1] - 3:12

role [5] - 12:8, 33:20,

33:23, 41:16, 42:18

roll [2] - 4:9, 7:22

ROMAN[14] - 2:2,

11:24, 12:22, 14:23,

8:16, 11:3, 11:7,

16:7, 16:16, 17:2,

32:1, 45:19, 45:23,

Roman [15] - 4:23,

22:13, 25:21, 26:1,

29:25, 30:1, 30:18,

31:21, 41:15, 42:3,

8:17, 15:8, 16:8,

46:8

42:25, 48:25
Roman's [3] - 25:19,
30:22, 35:8
round [2] - 29:1,
47:17
rule [1] - 50:10
ruled [1] - 50:4
rules [2] - 13:2,
29:21
ruling [4] - 14:9,
14:14, 20:9, 39:10
run [2] - 19:6, 49:5
running [1] - 37:7
runs [1] - 19:11

Sacramento [2] -1:20, 2:17 safe [1] - 30:9 sake [1] - 30:4 Samantha [2] - 6:22, 36.11 SAMANTHA[1] -3:10 **San** [1] - 2:8 Santa [4] - 2:6, 3:2, 3:9, 3:22 Sara [1] - 5:20 **SARA**[1] - 1:19 schedule [20] - 17:5, 27:23, 29:12, 30:20, 31:7, 32:5, 32:15, 34:17, 34:19, 34:20, 35:2, 37:23, 38:14, 43:12, 43:18, 43:21, 43:24, 44:10, 47:20 scheduled [15] -16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 22:24, 23:21, 27:18, 29:7, 29:8, 29:13, 30:6, 30:7, 32:15, 40:13, 51:5 scheduling [5] -32:25, 40:23, 43:8, 43:9, 49:2 scientific [1] - 9:13 **SE**[1] - 1:13 seal [1] - 53:14 second [2] - 21:22, 22:20 **section** [2] - 38:4, 38:15 see [5] - 30:1, 42:9, 48:3, 51:14, 52:3 seem [1] - 23:8 select [1] - 42:1 selected [1] - 23:6 self [1] - 31:24

self-inflicted [1] -31.24 sending [1] - 15:19 sense [4] - 19:24, 26:20, 36:2, 40:6 sent [2] - 8:9, 39:6 sequence [1] - 21:19 servers [1] - 10:17 service [1] - 4:14 set [7] - 24:22, 31:13, 32:23, 33:10, 34:17, 41:3, 53:14 **SEVENTH** [1] - 1:13 several [2] - 19:8, 44:3 **Shannon** [3] - 3:24, 53:5, 53:16 **SHELLY** [2] - 2:7, 2:20 **Shelly** [2] - 5:6, 7:24 **shifting** [1] - 14:2 shocked [1] - 51:2 short [5] - 20:13, 49:9, 49:16, 49:21, 49:23 Shorthand [2] - 53:5, 53:17 **shorthand** [1] - 53:6 **shortly** [1] - 17:19 show [1] - 26:3 shutdown [3] -43:10, 43:14, 50:22 significant [7] - 9:1, 9:4, 18:25, 32:22, 33:7, 33:20, 46:21 significantly [5] -8:4, 14:14, 19:5, 19:14, 20:10 similarly [1] - 13:15 Simmons [1] - 1:17 **simply** [8] - 9:4, 10:11, 17:24, 18:23, 19:20, 30:23, 36:6, 41:22 **single** [1] - 26:5 Sisk [1] - 3:13 situation [12] -13:19, 14:3, 15:19, 20:1, 20:25, 35:18, 36:13, 37:7, 38:17, 42:11, 46:19, 46:25 **six** [12] - 8:23, 10:18, 12:21, 22:4, 26:7, 27:7, 30:13, 31:8, 38:20, 43:20, 52:5, 52.7 **six-month** [7] - 8:23, 10:18, 26:7, 27:7, 30:13, 52:5, 52:7

size [1] - 33:18

slippage [1] - 43:12 slow [2] - 19:10 Smith [1] - 3:18 Solicitor [1] - 2:19 Solicitor's [1] - 7:24 Somach [7] - 1:17, 5:19, 28:1, 32:7, 34:15, 47:3, 48:23 **SOMACH**[7] - 1:17, 5:18, 28:2, 47:2, 49:14, 49:20, 51:4 **Somach's** [1] - 35:9 someone [3] - 10:22, 31:12. 34:9 **sometime** [1] - 37:5 sometimes [2] -40:19, 40:20 somewhat [2] -25:14, 31:24 soon [3] - 13:13, 22:2, 22:8 sort [7] - 23:4, 26:2, 27:8, 41:15, 41:24, 42:21 South [1] - 2:14 speaking [1] - 22:22 Special [2] - 29:20, 39:16 **SPECIAL** [1] - 1:12 **specific** [1] - 8:12 specifically [1] -45:20 speculating [1] speed [9] - 10:11, 12:16, 14:5, 15:7, 15:12, 27:16, 42:1, 42:7, 44:14 spell [1] - 6:12 **Sperling** [1] - 3:12 **staff** [2] - 19:4, 49:4 stand [1] - 8:21 **start** [9] - 4:12, 8:22, 21:17, 43:6, 43:14, 44:7, 44:11, 50:19, 50:25 started [1] - 4:4 starting [1] - 42:8 state [4] - 12:6, 15:22, 34:3, 34:9 **STATE**[3] - 1:6, 1:8, State [35] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:1, 2:4, 2:5, 2:10, 3:21, 4:21, 4:24, 5:3, 5:5, 5:14, 7:10, 7:15, 7:18, 8:11, 8:14, 8:17, 9:9, 9:20, 10:3, 10:23, 11:10, 11:13,

14:6, 14:21, 15:14,

15:17, 15:25, 20:22, stuff [2] - 24:20, 27:6 E [1] - 1:12 undertaking [1] - 9:2 tough [1] - 25:20 28:9, 33:25, 47:3, submission [1] telephone [1] - 28:9 transcript [1] - 53:8 unfortunate [1] -48:12, 53:5 45:13 telephonic [2] -TRANSCRIPT[1] -50:20 statement [1] - 28:12 44:19. 45:4 submitted [2] - 24:1, 1.11 unfortunately [1] -States [27] - 2:12. 24.14 tentative [3] - 8:10, travel [1] - 30:9 44.3 2:16, 2:18, 2:22, 4:6, subsequently [1] -28:4, 30:17 **UNITED**[2] - 1:4, traveling [1] - 30:5 4:8, 4:15, 4:17, 7:24, 40.24 tentatively [1] trial [31] - 9:1, 9:24, 17:7, 17:10, 17:14, substance [1] - 28:7 31:17 20:7, 20:18, 20:24, United [29] - 2:12, 17:22, 22:13, 22:24, substantive [1] terms [13] - 10:10, 21:2, 21:5, 21:15, 2:16, 2:18, 2:22, 4:6, 24:3, 24:25, 25:12, 20.7 14:22, 19:25, 30:2, 21:17, 21:18, 22:1, 4:8, 4:15, 4:17, 7:23, 26:10, 31:21, 37:10, **substitute** [1] - 42:25 30:20, 37:14, 39:12, 22:5, 27:7, 31:14, 17:7, 17:9, 17:14, 37:12, 39:20, 40:15, substitution [1] -39:15, 39:17, 40:2, 34:7, 35:3, 35:5, 17:22, 22:12, 22:23, 41:4, 46:18, 52:13 40:5, 41:4, 47:18 41:14, 41:20, 42:1, 24:3, 24:25, 25:12, **STATES**[2] - 1:4, Terrace[1] - 2:14 42:19, 43:6, 43:20, 26:10, 27:21, 31:21, suggest [1] - 33:23 1:12 43:21, 43:25, 44:2, 36:19, 37:10, 37:12, suggested [3] -**TESSA**[1] - 3:16 States' [2] - 27:22, 44:5, 44:7, 44:9, 39:20, 40:15, 41:4, **Tessa**[1] - 7:13 26:11, 30:17, 31:17 36:19 44:11 46:18, 52:13 testimony [2] -**Suite** [7] - 1:20, 2:3, static [2] - 13:18, University [3] - 3:21, tried [2] - 10:25, 21:23, 34:15 2:6, 2:14, 2:17, 3:6, 46:19 22:24 7:16, 7:19 **TEXAS**[1] - 1:6 3:19 stature [1] - 12:10 trouble [1] - 8:5 unknown [2] - 13:13, Texas [32] - 1:17, **super** [1] - 46:3 status [5] - 14:18, Trout [2] - 25:22, 18:19 1:21, 1:23, 3:6, 3:20, supervision [1] -20:5, 45:7, 45:8, unrealistic [1] - 49:6 33:19 53:7 4:7, 5:17, 5:21, 5:23, 45:11 unreasonable [1] true [3] - 11:24, supplement [1] -11:21, 15:14, 15:24, stav [21] - 8:24. 42:23 34:24, 53:8 16:20, 18:15, 27:13, 13:8 10:19, 11:17, 12:16, try [6] - 15:6, 22:5, unretained [1] - 23:4 27:25, 28:10, 31:9, supplemental [11] -12:24, 13:19, 14:16, 31:22, 32:12, 33:11, 41:10, 42:18, 44:9, unsure [1] - 13:17 13:1, 13:4, 13:7, 16:18, 20:5, 23:17, 34:19, 35:11, 46:10, 49:4 unsympathetic [1] -18:13, 18:15, 18:20, 25:3, 26:7, 26:11, 18:21, 19:16, 40:18, 46:14, 46:15, 47:3, trying [7] - 4:11, 48:25 26:12, 26:22, 30:13, 44:7, 51:25 48:7, 48:12, 48:14, 15:4, 32:5, 34:16, up [26] - 10:10, 36:5, 37:20, 51:20, 49:9, 50:8 35:3, 40:5, 41:21 12:15, 14:5, 14:14, support [4] - 19:4, 52:5, 52:7 Texas' [5] - 36:22, turn [1] - 21:10 15:6, 15:12, 15:18, 41:11, 42:22, 49:4 **stayed** [3] - 25:9, 46:9, 47:13, 48:18, 19:13, 21:15, 26:5, turned [1] - 34:24 **SUPREME** [1] - 1:4 27:6, 44:17 48:21 26:19, 27:15, 31:23, two [14] - 11:19, **Supreme** [1] - 4:6 **staying** [2] - 30:1, Texas's [3] - 9:2, 14:25, 15:11, 16:8, 33:10, 33:19, 33:23, surrebuttal [2] -51:23 18:16, 29:22 17:6, 19:18, 23:22, 35:11, 35:16, 37:1, 47:6, 48:4 stays [1] - 39:15 THE [1] - 1:4 24:11, 26:11, 26:22, 38:17, 41:24, 42:1, **Susan** [1] - 5:22 **Stein** [3] - 3:1, 3:7, 43:15, 43:25, 44:9, Theresa [1] - 5:19 36:21, 37:14, 38:1, suspect [2] - 4:10, 6:17 50:13 44:13 THERESA[1] - 1:19 **STEIN** [2] - 3:8, 6:16 updated [1] - 45:8 two-month [2] thinking [1] - 22:11 sustain [1] - 23:5 step [7] - 12:9, 15:9, 26:11, 26:22 uploaded [2] - 23:14, thinks [1] - 44:15 sympathize [1] -18:16, 33:23, 41:24, 23:15 **type** [3] - 18:6, Thompson [1] - 4:25 42:19 24:19, 45:8 urge [3] - 20:4, 20:6, thoughts [1] - 8:10 synthesizing [1] -**STEPHEN**[1] - 2:16 21:7 three [3] - 29:4, typical [2] - 18:5, 39.17 steps [1] - 9:23 46:20 **Utility** [3] - 3:2, 6:1, 30:13, 42:13 **Stetson** [1] - 27:22 Т three-month [2] still [8] - 13:9, 18:22, U **Utton** [2] - 3:21, 7:18 29:4, 30:13 19:18, 23:9, 25:4, **UTTON** [2] - 3:21, throughout [1] tag [1] - 14:25 25:10, 43:6, 49:14 32:15 **U.S** [7] - 2:12, 2:16, tag-team [1] - 14:25 strategic [3] - 13:24, tight [1] - 27:13 2:18, 2:22, 13:9, target [1] - 13:15 20:16, 21:4 timely [2] - 47:7, 16:20, 33:12 task [2] - 42:4, 42:14 strategy [2] - 9:24, 47:10 **U.S.'s** [1] - 9:3 team [8] - 9:6, 12:18, timing [1] - 39:13 **ultimately** [1] - 34:19 vacating [1] - 24:23 14:1, 14:25, 26:6, Stream [4] - 2:8, 5:7, today [3] - 4:24, 8:4, under [7] - 9:25, Valentine [1] - 5:22 33:9, 33:18, 41:17 19:3, 27:3, 30:21, various [2] - 28:13, technical [5] - 20:12, Street [4] - 2:5, 2:14, together [3] - 47:19, 40:6, 47:17, 53:7 39:18 25:24, 32:4, 33:21, 2:17, 2:20 48:3, 48:11 undermine [1] versus [1] - 41:20 stretch [1] - 44:2 took [4] - 15:9. vigorously [2] -20:23 teleconference [2] -**STUART**[1] - 1:17 32:12, 35:11, 35:16 understood [1] -28:21, 29:6 53:7, 53:10 Stuart [2] - 5:19, total [1] - 12:20 40:23 virus [5] - 20:1, **TELECONFERENC** 47:3 touched [1] - 39:25

28:15, 29:11, 29:18, yourself [1] - 8:15 50:1 voice [2] - 31:5, Ζ 31:15 voluminous [1] zealously [1] - 28:21 9:13 **vs** [2] - 4:7, 42:12 W wait [3] - 20:4, 20:6, waiting [1] - 17:9 **Wallace** [2] - 5:16, 35:21 **WALLACE** [3] - 2:10, 5:15, 35:23 wants [1] - 8:14 Washington [2] -2:21, 2:24 Water [7] - 3:2, 3:13, 5:25, 6:1, 6:4, 6:24, 7:3 week [16] - 17:3, 17:4, 23:8, 28:6, 29:17, 30:3, 38:1, 38:2, 40:25, 45:1, 45:5, 48:9, 50:15, 52:14 weeks [8] - 14:11, 14:15, 19:19, 20:9, 38:21, 38:23, 42:13, 42:19 whatsoever [2] -17:22, 36:3 whereas [1] - 49:24 WHEREOF [1] -53:14 whole [1] - 48:1 wildest [1] - 43:16 witness [2] - 24:14, 37:17 **WITNESS** [1] - 53:14 witnesses [5] - 9:19, 17:8, 21:19, 36:21, 42:13 workable [1] - 27:14 written [9] - 26:13, 26:15, 26:17, 26:21, 40:1, 41:19, 51:17, 51:22, 51:24 Υ year [2] - 21:25, 43:14 yesterday[6] - 8:3, 8:7, 8:9, 14:8, 31:18, 39:6